

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dedication .....	
Preface and Acknowledgements.....	
Table of Cases .....	
Chapter 1 — The Patent System & Procedures for Patenting.....	
1.1 The Canadian Patent System.....	
1.1.1 What is a Patent?.....	
1.1.2 Purposes of the Patent System.....	
1.1.3 Patent Theory .....	
1.1.3.1 Invention Motivation Theory .....	
1.1.3.2 Induce Commercialization Theory.....	
1.1.3.3 Information Disclosure Theory.....	
1.1.3.4 Exploration Control Theory.....	
1.1.4 History and Development of the <i>Patent Act</i> .....	
1.1.5 Comparison with the U.S. Patent System .....	
1.1.5.1 <i>Leahy—Smith America Invents Act</i> .....	
1.1.5.2 Key Features of the U.S. Patent System.....	
1.1.5.3 Major U.S. Decision .....	
1.2 The Patent Application .....	
1.2.1 Important Dates.....	
1.2.1.1 Filing Date.....	
1.2.1.2 Claim Date (Priority Date).....	
1.2.1.3 Applying Outside of Canada .....	
1.2.1.4 Patent Prosecution Highway.....	
1.2.2 Criteria for Patentability .....	
1.2.2.1 Patentable Subject Matter .....	
1.2.2.2 Novelty .....	
1.2.2.3 Utility .....	
1.2.2.4 Inventiveness (Non-Obviousness).....	
1.2.3 Contents of a Patent Application .....	
1.2.3.1 Abstract .....	
1.2.3.2 Claims.....	
1.2.3.2.1 Guidance on Business Method Patent Claims.....	
1.2.3.2.2 Guidance on Computer Implemented Patent Claims.	
1.2.3.2.3 Guidance on Medical Use Patent Claims.....	
1.2.3.3 Description .....	
1.2.3.4 Drawings .....	
1.3 Filing the Application .....	
1.3.1 Filing Date.....	
1.3.2 Serial Number.....	

- 1.3.3 Public Disclosure.....
- 1.4 Examination of Patent Applications.....
- 1.4.1 Requesting Examination.....
- 1.4.2 Special Order Examination.....
- 1.4.3 Prior Art and Protests.....
- 1.4.4 The Prosecution.....
  - 1.4.4.1 The Role of the Examiner.....
  - 1.4.4.2 Responding to the Office Action.....
  - 1.4.4.3 Appealing a Refusal to Grant a Patent.....
  - 1.4.4.4 Amendments to Patents: Re-Examination.....
  - 1.4.4.5 Amendments to Patents: Reissue & Disclaimer.....
- 1.5 Maintenance Fees.....
- 1.5.1 *Dutch Industries Case*.....
- 1.5.2 Legislative Response to *Dutch Industries*.....
- Chapter 2 — Patentable Subject Matter.....
- 2.1 What is Patentable?.....
- 2.1.1 Statutory Provisions.....
- 2.1.2 “Art”.....
- 2.1.3 “Process”.....
- 2.1.4 “Machine”.....
- 2.1.5 “Manufacture”.....
- 2.1.6 “Composition of Matter”.....
- 2.1.7 United States.....
- 2.2 Examples of “Included” and “Excluded” Subject Matter.....
- 2.2.1 New Uses for Known Compounds and Processes.....
  - 2.2.1.1 Canada.....
  - 2.2.1.2 United States.....
- 2.2.2 Professional Skill and Methods of Medical Treatment.....
  - 2.2.2.1 Canada.....
  - 2.2.2.2 United States.....
- 2.2.3 Life Forms.....
  - 2.2.3.1 Canada.....
  - 2.2.3.2 United States.....
- 2.2.4 Computer Implemented Inventions and “Business Methods”.....
  - 2.2.4.1 Canada.....
  - 2.2.4.2 United States.....
- Chapter 3 — Patent Anticipation (Novelty).....
- 3.1 Introduction.....
- 3.1.1 What is Meant by Anticipation.....
- 3.1.2 Presumption of Validity.....
- 3.1.3 Anticipation as an Invalidity Defence.....
- 3.1.4 High Threshold to Overcome.....

3.1.5	Statutory Defence — <i>Patent Act</i> : Old versus New .....	
3.1.5.1	Old <i>Patent Act</i> Anticipation — First to Invent.....	
3.1.5.1.1	Relevant Provisions.....	
3.1.5.2	New <i>Patent Act</i> Anticipation — First-to-File System .....	
3.1.5.2.1	Relevant Provisions.....	
3.1.6	General Approach for an Anticipation Analysis .....	
3.1.6.1	Must Construe the Claims First .....	
3.1.6.2	Question of Fact .....	
3.1.6.3	Each Anticipating Disclosure must be Expressly Pleaded .....	
3.1.6.4	Single Disclosure — No Mosaicing .....	
3.1.6.5	Person Skilled in the Art .....	
3.1.7	Anticipation versus Obviousness.....	
3.1.7.1	Independent Defences.....	
3.1.7.2	Order of Dealing with Anticipation and Obvious- ness.....	
3.2	Anticipation under the “New” <i>Patent Act</i> .....	
3.2.1	Enabling Disclosure Test for Anticipation .....	
3.2.1.1	Disclosure Requirement .....	
3.2.1.2	Enablement Requirement .....	
3.2.1.3	Form of Disclosure is irrelevant .....	
3.2.1.4	“Available to the Public” — Unrestricted Access .....	
3.2.1.5	Prejudices of the Prior Art .....	
3.2.2	Prior Publication .....	
3.2.2.1	The Unimaginative Person Skilled in the Art.....	
3.2.2.2	Sufficiency and Enablement.....	
3.2.2.3	Paper Disclosures .....	
3.2.3	Prior Oral Disclosure .....	
3.2.4	Prior Use & Prior Sale .....	
3.2.4.1	Must Amount to Enabling Disclosure .....	
3.2.4.2	Reverse Engineering.....	
3.2.4.3	Prior Use of a Method Invention or Use of a Product Invention  3.2.4.4 The Removal of “Inherency” .....	
3.2.4.5	No Exemption for Experimentation .....	
3.2.5	Double Patenting .....	
3.2.6	Selection Patents .....	
3.3	Anticipation under the “Old” <i>Patent Act</i> .....	
3.3.1	Enabling Disclosure Test for Anticipation.....	
3.3.2	Prior Invention in Section 27(1)(a) .....	
3.3.2.1	Date of Invention.....	
3.3.2.2	Prior use by “Any Other Person”? .....	

- 3.3.2.3 Making the Invention “Available to the Public” .....
- 3.3.2.4 Secret or Fortuitous Use .....
- 3.3.2.5 Sufficiency of “Prior Use” under Section 27 and 61 .....
- 3.3.2.5.1 Unsuccessful/Abandoned Experiment .....
- 3.3.2.5.2 Prejudices of the Prior Art .....
- 3.3.2.5.3 Proof of Prior Use Subject to Close  
        Scrutiny .....
- 3.3.2.5.4 Critical Date .....
- 3.3.3 Prior Printed Publication or Patent in Section 27(1)(b) .....
- 3.3.3.1 What is a Publication?.....
- 3.3.3.2 “Printed” under Section 27.....
- 3.3.3.3 Date of Interpretation of Prior Art .....
- 3.3.4 Prior Public Use or Sale in Canada under Section 27(1)(c) .....
- 3.3.4.1 A Good Try, But No Success.....
- 3.3.4.2 Prior Use .....
- 3.3.4.3 On Sale .....
- 3.3.4.4 Exemption for Experimentation .....
- 3.3.5 Prior Corresponding Patent — Section 27(2).....
- 3.3.6 Double Patenting or Prior Grant.....
- 3.4 Conclusion .....
- Chapter 4 — Utility .....
- 4.1 Introduction .....
- 4.2 Utility as an Element of Invention .....
- 4.3 Relevant Date for Assessing Utility .....
- 4.4 Relevant Addressee for Assessing Utility .....
- 4.5 Quantum of Utility Required .....
- 4.6 Utility and Disclosure .....
- 4.7 Utility as Promised.....
- 4.8 Grounds For Inutility .....
- 4.8.1 Inoperability .....
- 4.8.1.1 Control and Reproducibility.....
- 4.8.1.2 Side Effects and Toxicity.....
- 4.8.1.3 Claim Missing an Essential Element.....
- 4.8.1.3.1 Immaterial Element .....
- 4.8.1.4 Inutility of Described Embodiment.....
- 4.8.1.4.1 Partial Inutility.....
- 4.8.1.4.2 Utility in Modified Form .....
- 4.8.1.4.3 Mere Misdescription.....
- 4.8.1.5 Claim Includes Inoperable Embodiments.....
- 4.8.1.5.1 Obviously Inoperable Embodiments .....
- 4.8.2 Claim Points to Inoperable Embodiment.....
- 4.8.2.1 Inutility within Genus or within Breadth of Claim .....
- 4.8.3 Use Limited Claims and Sufficiency .....

4.9	Inutility of the Patent as a Whole.....	
4.10	Commercial Success as Evidence of Utility.....	
4.11	Utility and Sound Prediction.....	
4.11.1	Three-part Test for Predicted Utility.....	
4.11.2	After-the-Fact Validation.....	
4.11.3	Sound Prediction and Breadth of Claim.....	
4.11.3.1	Overly Broad Class.....	
4.11.4	Demonstrated Utility and Proper Disclosure.....	
4.11.5	Sound Prediction and Obviousness.....	
4.12	Burden.....	
	Chapter 5 — Inventiveness.....	
5.1	Overview.....	
5.2	Obviousness is Different From Anticipation.....	
5.3	Development of the Requirement for Non-Obviousness.....	
5.3.1	Development of the Requirement for Non-Obviousness — Introduction.....	
5.3.2	Development of UK Requirement for Non-Obviousness — Overview.....	
5.3.2.1	Obvious to Try.....	
5.3.3	Development of the US Requirement for Obviousness.....	
5.3.4	Development of the Requirement for Obviousness in Canadian Law.....	
5.3.4.1	<i>Farbwerke Hoechst v. Halocarbon</i> .....	
5.3.4.2	<i>Beecham v. Procter &amp; Gamble</i> .....	
5.3.4.3	<i>Beloit v. Valmet Oy</i> .....	
5.3.4.4	Section 28.3.....	
5.3.4.5	<i>Apotex v. Sanofi-Synthelabo</i> .....	
5.4	Test for Obviousness or Lack of Invention under the Old <i>Act</i> .....	
5.4.1	Old <i>Act</i> Test as a Series of Questions.....	
5.5	Statutory Test for Obviousness under the Current <i>Act</i> .....	
5.5.1	Obviousness Test under Section 28.3 as a Series of Questions.....	
5.6	The Subject Matter Defined by the Claim in Issue.....	
5.7	The Relevant Date for Obviousness under the Old <i>Act</i> — Date of Invention.....	
5.7.1	Proof of Actual Date of Invention.....	
5.7.2	Constructive Date of Invention — Filing Date or Priority Date.....	
5.8	Relevant Date for Obviousness under the Current <i>Act</i> — Claim Date.....	
5.9	The Prior Art to be Considered in Assessing Obviousness.....	
5.9.1	Prior Art to be Considered Under the Old <i>Act</i> .....	
5.9.2	Prior Art to be Considered under the Current <i>Act</i> .....	

- 5.9.2.1 Information Disclosed More than One Year Before the Filing Date By or From the Applicant .....
- 5.9.2.2 Information Disclosed Before the Claim Date By Other Persons.....
- 5.9.2.3 Disclosed in such a Manner that the Information Became Available to the Public .....
- 5.9.3 Other Issues Regarding the Art to be Considered in Determining Obviousness .....
- 5.9.3.1 Disclosure to the Person Skilled in the Art.....
- 5.9.3.2 All of the Relevant Art .....
- 5.9.3.3 Art Cited in the Patent.....
- 5.9.3.4 Post-Art — Art after the Relevant Date.....
- 5.9.3.5 Information Outside the Field of the Person Skilled in the Art.....
- 5.9.3.6 Relevance of a Diligent Search.....
- 5.9.3.7 How the Art was Located .....
- 5.9.3.8 The Nature of the Disclosure .....
- 5.9.3.9 Age of the Prior Art.....
- 5.9.3.10 Paper References .....
- 5.9.3.11 Mosaic .....
- 5.9.4 Expert Evidence as to the Prior Art .....
- 5.10 The Person Skilled in the Art.....
- 5.10.1 Identify the Person Skilled in the Art .....
- 5.10.2 A Notional Person .....
- 5.10.3 Qualities and Capabilities of the Person Skilled in the Art .....
- 5.10.3.1 Sufficiently Skilled to Understand the Nature and Description of the Invention.....
- 5.10.3.2 Practical Interest in the Invention .....
- 5.10.3.3 Ordinary Skill .....
- 5.10.3.4 Unimaginative.....
- 5.10.3.5 Reasonably Diligent in Keeping Up .....
- 5.10.3.6 Mind Willing to Understand .....
- 5.10.3.7 Seeking to Achieve Success .....
- 5.10.4 Expert Evidence as to the Qualities and Capabilities of the Person Skilled in the Art .....
- 5.11 Common General Knowledge in the Art .....
- 5.11.1 Relevance of the Common General Knowledge in the Art .....
- 5.11.2 What is the Common General Knowledge in the Art .....
- 5.12 Construe the Claim and Identify the Inventive Concept of the Claim Where Appropriate .....
- 5.12.1 “Inventive Concept” in UK Law.....
- 5.12.2 “Inventive Concept” in Canadian Law.....
  - 5.12.2.1 *Apotex v. Sanofi-Synthelabo* .....

5.12.3	The Inventive Concept — General Principles .....	
5.12.4	Step 2 in Relation to Patents Governed by the Old <i>Act</i> .....	
5.13	Differences Between the State of the Art and the Claim as Construed and the Inventive Concept.....	
5.14	Determination of Obviousness.....	
5.14.1	Overview of Determination of Obviousness.....	
5.14.2	An Objective Test .....	
5.14.3	There Must be Invention.....	
5.14.4	Obvious to Try .....	
15.14.4.1	When Is the “Obvious to Try” Test Appropriate?.....	
15.14.4.2	“Obvious to Try” Considerations .....	
5.14.5	Directly and Without Difficulty .....	
5.14.6	Other Statements of the Test of Obviousness .....	
5.14.7	Question of Fact.....	
5.14.8	Mechanical Skill.....	
5.14.9	Obviousness is to be Determined at the Relevant Date.....	
5.14.10	Caution Concerning Hindsight .....	
5.14.11	Relevance of Other Factors .....	
5.15	Other Facts — Their Relevance to the Determination of Obviousness .....	
5.15.1	Is it More or Less Self-Evident That What is Being Tried Ought to Work?.....	
5.15.2	The Extent, Nature and Amount of Effort Required to Achieve the Invention .....	
5.15.2.1	Verification .....	
5.15.3	Motive to Find the Solution the Patent Addresses.....	
5.15.4	The Manner of Making the Invention .....	
5.15.5	Simplicity.....	
5.15.6	Technically or Practically Obvious .....	
5.15.7	Utility .....	
5.15.8	If Obvious, Why Was it Not Done Before? .....	
5.15.9	The Climate in the Field — Teaching Away or Prejudice .....	
5.15.10	Commercial Success .....	
5.15.11	The Reception of the Invention and the Response of Others in the Field .....	
5.15.12	Meritorious Awards and Industry Praise .....	
5.15.13	Patents .....	
5.15.14	Combination of Factors .....	
5.16	Relevance of the File Wrapper .....	
5.17	Expert Evidence as to Obviousness.....	
5.18	Some Specific Applications of the Test for Obviousness .....	
5.18.1	Combinations.....	
5.18.1.1	Combination.....	

- 5.18.1.2 Obviousness of a Combination.....
- 5.18.1.3 Mere Aggregation .....
- 5.18.2 Obviousness of a Member of a Claimed Class.....
- 5.18.3 New Use for an Old Product or Process.....
- 5.18.4 Product for a New Use .....
- 5.18.5 Selection .....
- 5.18.6 Size, Shape, Material.....
- 5.18.7 Composition .....
- 5.18.8 Process.....
- 5.18.9 Idea .....
- 5.19 Double Patenting .....
- 5.19.1 Divisional Applications .....
- 5.19.2 Exception Where the Patent Office Requires Division .....
- Chapter 6 — Claim Construction .....
- 6.1 Introduction: “What Does the Claim Mean?” .....
- 6.2 History of Claiming .....
- 6.3 History of Claim Construction .....
- 6.3.1 The Two Part Test: Literal and Substantive Infringement.....
  - 6.3.1.1 Literal Infringement .....
  - 6.3.1.2 Substantive Infringement.....
- 6.3.2 *Catnic* .....
- 6.3.3 *Improver*.....
- 6.4 The First Duty of the Court.....
- 6.4.1 Claim Construction is the Job of the Court.....
  - 6.4.1.1 Patent as a “Regulation”.....
  - 6.4.1.2 *Markman* Hearings.....
- 6.4.2 Use of Expert Witnesses.....
- 6.5 The Principles of Claim Construction in *Free World*.....
- 6.6 “Purposive” Construction .....
- 6.6.1 Rejection of “Form and Substance” Approach.....
- 6.7 Step 1: What Do the Words and Phrases in the Claim Mean? .....
- 6.7.1 Pre-Construction Set-Up .....
- 6.7.1.1 The Relevant Date .....
- 6.7.1.2 The Skilled Reader .....
- 6.7.1.3 Objective Attitude or Biased for Really Useful Inventions .....
- 6.7.1.4 With an Eye on the Defendant’s Product .....
- 6.7.2 Use of the Patent Specification.....
  - 6.7.2.1 The Patent Should be Read as a Whole .....
  - 6.7.2.2 Use of the Abstract .....
  - 6.7.2.3 Use of the Preamble to the Claim .....
  - 6.7.2.4 Use of the Disclosure and Drawings.....
    - 6.7.2.4.1 Patentee as Lexicographer .....



6.7.2.4.2	Use of the Variance Clause .....	
6.7.2.5	Dependant Claims, Claim Differentiation and Claim Consistency	
6.7.2.6	Other Independent Claims.....	
6.7.2.7	“Comprising” or “Consisting of” .....	
6.7.2.8	Dependent Claims: “ ... any of Claims”.....	
6.7.2.9	Method Claims: Order of Steps.....	
6.7.3	Use of External Material.....	
6.7.3.1	Dictionaries.....	
6.7.3.2	File Wrapper Estoppel .....	
6.7.3.3	Prior Art.....	
6.7.3.4	Other Canadian Decisions Construing the Same Patent .....	
6.7.3.5	Foreign Decisions and Issue Estoppel.....	
6.7.4	Use of Language: Synecdoche or Metonymy .....	
6.7.4.1	Examples of Synecdoche and Metonymy .....	
6.8	Step 2: Is A Claim Element “Essential”? .....	
6.8.1	A Purposive Construction of <i>Free World</i> .....	
6.8.2	Q. #1: The Obviously Superfluous Claim Element .....	
6.8.2.1	The Presence of a Variant .....	
6.8.2.2	Does the Variant Affect the Way the Invention Works?.....	
6.8.2.2.1	The Way the Invention Works .....	
6.8.2.2.2	The Way (the Invention + the Variant) Works .....	
6.8.2.3	Obvious Substitutability ... At the Time the Patent was Published.....	
6.8.2.3.1	At the Time the Patent Application is Published .....	
6.8.3	Q. #2: Is the Element Essential, According to the Intent of the Inventor as Expressed or Inferred from the Claims? .....	
6.8.3.1	Adherence to the Language of the Claims .....	
6.8.3.2	The Inferred Intent of the Inventor .....	
6.8.3.3	Most Claims Contain Only Essential Elements .....	
6.8.3.4	Words of Precision and the Precision of Words.....	
6.8.3.5	Numbers .....	
6.8.3.6	“About” .....	
6.8.3.7	“Irrespective of its Practical Effect” .....	
6.8.4	An Inconsistency in Free World .....	
6.9	Developments in Claim Construction Since <i>Free World</i> and <i>Whirlpool</i> .....	
6.9.1	Subsequent Case Law.....	
6.9.1.1	Back to <i>Improver</i> .....	

- 6.9.2 The *Kirin-Amgen* Decision.....
- 6.10 “Swiss” Type Claims.....
- 6.11 Conclusions.....
- 6.12 Appendix A .....
- Chapter 7 — Infringement .....
- 7.1 Introduction.....
- 7.1.1 What is Infringement?.....
- 7.1.2 Territorial Limitations.....
  - 7.1.2.1 The U.S. Perspective .....
  - 7.1.2.2 The U.K. Perspective .....
- 7.1.3 Who Can Sue?.....
  - 7.1.3.1 Patentee .....
  - 7.1.3.2 Licensee .....
- 7.1.4 Who Can be Sued?.....
  - 7.1.4.1 Officers and Directors .....
- 7.2 “Direct” Infringement .....
- 7.2.1 Making and Constructing.....
  - 7.2.1.1 Patented Process for Obtaining a New Product .....
  - 7.2.1.2 Repairing vs. Remanufacturing .....
- 7.2.2 Using.....
  - 7.2.2.1 Monsanto Decision .....
  - 7.2.2.2 Use in an Unintended Manner .....
  - 7.2.2.3 Use of a Product of a Patented Process or Machine.....
- 7.2.3 Selling.....
- 7.2.4 Importation.....
  - 7.2.4.1 Product Made Abroad by Patented Process or Intermediate.....
  - 7.2.4.2 Product Made Abroad by Patented Machine.....
  - 7.2.4.3 Intermediate Made Abroad by Patented Process or Machine.....
- 7.3 “Indirect Infringement” — Inducement and Procurement.....
- 7.3.1 Overview.....
  - 7.3.1.1 Comparison with U.K. and U.S. Tests .....
- 7.3.2 Characterization of the Cause of Action.....
- 7.3.3 The Three Elements of Indirect.....
  - 7.3.3.1 Direct Infringement.....
  - 7.3.3.2 Inducing Conduct — Causation is Required.....
    - 7.3.3.2.1 Manner of Inducement.....
    - 7.3.3.3 Knowingly .....
    - 7.3.3.3.1 Do Components Have Non-Infringing Use?.....
    - 7.3.3.3.2 Knowledge of the Patent .....
- 7.3.4 Kits.....

7.3.5 Pleading Indirect Infringement .....  
 7.3.6 Remedies for Indirect Infringement .....  
 7.4 Defences to Infringement .....  
 7.4.1 The Gillette Defence.....  
 7.5 Exemptions From Infringement.....  
 7.5.1 Experimental Use.....  
     7.5.1.1 Common Law .....  
     7.5.1.2 Compulsory Licence Regime .....  
     7.5.1.3 Section 55.2: Experimental Use for Regulatory  
         Approval.....  
 7.5.2 Use in Transit .....  
 7.5.3 Prior Acquired Goods.....  
     7.5.3.1 What the Defendant May Do.....  
     7.5.3.2 Prior Use of Methods .....  
     7.5.3.3 Articles Must be in Existence .....  
     7.5.3.4 Purchase .....  
 Chapter 8 — Validity: Latent Defects.....  
 8.1 Introduction .....  
 8.2 Disclosure Requirement .....  
 8.2.2 Sufficiency of Disclosure and Utility .....  
 8.2.3 The “Best Mode” Requirement .....  
 8.2.4 Ambiguity .....  
 8.2.5 “Selection Patents”.....  
 8.3 Misrepresentation in the Patent Application .....  
 8.4 Over-Claiming or “Claims Broader”.....  
 8.4.1 Claims Broader Than Invention Made .....  
 8.4.2 Claims Broader Than Invention Disclosed.....  
 8.4.3 Remedial Efforts .....  
 8.5 Double Patenting .....  
 8.6 Sound Prediction.....  
 8.7 Maintenance Fees.....  
 8.7.1 Maintenance Fees Generally.....  
 8.7.2 *Dutch Industries*.....  
 8.7.3 Section 78.6 of the *Patent Act* .....  
 8.7.4 Failure to Pay Maintenance Fees .....  
 8.8 Disclaimer.....  
 8.8.1 Definition.....  
 8.8.2 Patent Office Role in Accepting Disclaimers.....  
 8.8.3 Disclaimers in Pending Actions .....  
 8.9 Dedication To The Public .....  
 8.9.1 Definition.....  
 8.9.2 Enforceability of Undedicated Claims .....  
 8.9.3 Revocability .....

- 8.9.4 Jurisdiction in Pending Actions .....
- 8.10 Reissue of Patents .....
- 8.10.1 Re-Examination .....
- 8.10.2 Request for Re-Examination .....
- 8.10.3 Establishment of Re-Examination Board .....
- 8.10.4 Re-Examination Proceeding .....
- 8.10.5 Certificate of Board .....
- 8.10.6 Appeal .....
- 8.10.7 Patent Rules .....
- Chapter 9 — Litigation Procedures and Remedies .....
- 9.1 Pre-litigation Matters .....
- 9.1.1 Demand Letter .....
- 9.1.2 Gathering the Evidence .....
- 9.1.3 Motion to Inspect .....
- 9.2 Pleadings .....
- 9.2.1 Statement of Claim .....
- 9.2.1.1 Patent Infringement .....
- 9.2.1.2 Patent Impeachment and Declarations of Non-Infringement .....
- 9.2.1.3 Issuance — Statutory Time Bar .....
- 9.2.1.4 Service .....
- 9.2.1.5 Proof of Service — Timing Issues / Extensions .....
- 9.2.2 Statement of Defence .....
- 9.2.2.1 Infringement Action .....
- 9.2.3 Reply .....
- 9.2.4 Counterclaim .....
- 9.2.5 Attack of Pleadings .....
- 9.2.5.1 Particulars / Striking of Pleadings — Timing Issues .....
- 9.2.5.1.1 Particulars .....
- 9.2.5.1.2 Striking of Pleadings and Allegations .....
- 9.2.5.2 Amendments .....
- 9.2.6 Close of Pleadings .....
- 9.2.6.1 Offers to Settle / Settlement Discussions .....
- 9.2.6.1.1 Settlement Discussions .....
- 9.2.6.1.2 Offers to Settle .....
- 9.3 Discovery .....
- 9.3.1 Discovery of Documents .....
- 9.3.1.1 Affidavit of Documents .....
- 9.3.1.2 Inspection of Documents .....
- 9.3.1.3 Examination of Deponent .....
- 9.3.2 Examination for Discovery .....
- 9.3.2.1 Implied Undertaking of Confidentiality .....

9.3.2.2	Timing Issues, How and Where Conducted .....	
9.3.2.3	Person Examined .....	
9.3.2.4	Scope of Examination .....	
9.3.2.5	Objections .....	
9.3.2.6	Undertakings / Questions Reserved / Further Examination.....	
9.3.2.7	Confidentiality Orders.....	
9.4	Pre-trial Matters.....	
9.4.1	Security for Costs.....	
9.4.2	Summary Judgment and Summary Trial .....	
9.4.3	Bifurcation / Severance of Issues .....	
9.4.4	Notice to Admit.....	
9.4.5	Expert Evidence .....	
9.4.6	Pre-Trial Conference .....	
9.5	Conduct of Trial .....	
9.5.1	Opening Statements.....	
9.5.2	Adducing Evidence.....	
9.5.2.1	Witnesses .....	
9.5.2.2	Expert Evidence .....	
9.5.2.3	Demonstrative Evidence .....	
9.5.2.4	Use of Discovery.....	
9.6	Remedies.....	
9.6.1	Injunctive Relief.....	
9.6.1.1	Interim and Interlocutory Injunctions.....	
9.6.1.2	Permanent Injunctions.....	
9.6.1.3	<i>Anton Piller</i> Orders .....	
9.6.2	Destruction of Infringing Goods .....	
9.6.3	Damages .....	
9.6.3.1	Compensatory Damages.....	
9.6.3.2	Damages Available under the <i>Patent Act</i> .....	
9.6.3.3	Burden of Proof .....	
9.6.3.4	Reasonable Compensation (re Pre-Grant Activities) .....	
9.6.3.5	Damages (re Post-Issuance Activities).....	
9.6.3.5.1	Sales to be Considered (Springboard and Convoeyed Sales Damages) .....	
9.6.3.5.2	Sales a Patentee Would Have Made — Lost Profits — Profits to be Considered.....	
9.6.3.5.3	Sales a Patentee Would Not Have Made — Reasonable Royalty.....	
9.6.3.6	Entitlement — Patentee and Persons Claiming under .....	
9.6.4	Profits.....	
9.6.4.1	Burden of Proof .....	
9.6.4.2	Account of Profits — Sales to be Considered .....	

- 9.6.5 Punitive Damages.....
- 9.6.6 Special Damages .....
- 9.6.7 Aggravated Damages .....
- 9.6.8 Interest.....
- 9.6.9 Costs.....
- 9.6.10 Offers to Settle .....
- 9.7 Appeals.....
- 9.7.1 Notice of Appeal.....
- 9.7.2 Appearance and Cross-Appeal .....
- 9.7.3 Appeal Book.....
- 9.7.4 Memorandum of Appeal .....
- 9.7.5 Requisition for a Hearing.....
- 9.7.6 Book of Statutes, Regulations and Authorities .....
- Chapter 10 — Section 55.2 of the *Patent Act* and the *Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations*.....
- 10.1 Introduction and Overview.....
- 10.1.1 Background and Purpose of the *Regulations*.....
- 10.1.2 Mechanics of the *Regulations* .....
- 10.2 The Patent List and Section 4 of the *Regulations*.....
- 10.2.1 Timing Requirements for Listing.....
- 10.2.2 Qualifying Patents.....
- 10.2.2.1 Listing Based on Claims for the Medicinal Ingredient.....
- 10.2.2.2 Listing Based on “Use” Claims .....
- 10.2.2.3 Listing Based on Claims for the Formulation or Dosage.....
- 10.2.2.4 2008 Amendments to the Regulations .....
- 10.3 Submission for a Notice of Compliance and Section 5 of the *Regulations*.....
- 10.4 Section 6 Proceedings .....
- 10.4.1 Procedure.....
- 10.4.2 Sufficiency of the NOA .....
- 10.4.3 Burden in Establishing Whether the NOA is Justified .....
- 10.4.4 Hearing of a 55.2 Proceeding .....
- 10.4.5 Appeals of a 55.2 Proceeding .....
- 10.5 Issuance of Notice of Compliance and Section 7 of the *Regulations*.....
- 10.6 Damages and Section 8 of the *Regulations*.....
- 10.7 Conclusion .....
- Chapter 11 — Patents as a Business Asset.....
- 11.1 Assignments .....
- 11.1.1 Assignability .....
- 11.1.1.1 Partial Assignment .....

- 11.1.1.2 Assignment by Joint Owners .....
- 11.1.2 Legal Requirements .....
- 11.1.2.1 Form of Assignment .....
- 11.1.2.2 Registration .....
- 11.1.2.3 Notice of Equitable Interest .....
- 11.1.3 Equitable Interests .....
- 11.1.3.1 By Express Agreement .....
- 11.1.3.2 By Virtue of Employment .....
- 11.1.4 Devolution .....
- 11.1.4.1 Death or Dissolution .....
- 11.1.4.2 Bankruptcy .....
- 11.1.5 Terms .....
- 11.1.5.1 Obligations Attaching to Patent .....
- 11.1.5.2 Implication of Terms .....
- 11.1.6 Estoppel.....
- 11.1.7 Right to Sue for Past Infringement .....
- 11.1.8 Inventions of Public Interest .....
- 11.1.8.1 By Public Servants Generally .....
- 11.1.8.2 War Munitions .....
- 11.1.8.3 Nuclear Energy .....
- 11.2 Licences .....
- 11.2.1 Nature of a Licence .....
- 11.2.1.1 Licence as Property.....
- 11.2.1.2 Scope of Licence .....
- 11.2.1.2.1 General.....
- 11.2.1.2.2 Limited .....
- 11.2.1.2.3 Exclusive.....
- 11.2.1.2.4 Sole.....
- 11.2.1.3 Grants by Joint Owners .....
- 11.2.2 Legal Requirements .....
- 11.2.2.1 Form of Licence.....
- 11.2.2.2 Registration .....
- 11.2.2.3 Notice of Equitable Interest .....
- 11.2.3 Agreements to Grant a Licence .....
- 11.2.4 Implied Licences .....
- 11.2.4.1 By Sale .....
- 11.2.4.2 By Employment Relationship (“Shop Right”) .....
- 11.2.4.3 By Conduct.....
- 11.2.4.4 By Dissolution of Partnership .....
- 11.2.5 Determination.....
- 11.2.5.1 Rights of the Parties.....
- 11.2.5.2 Revocation of Patent .....
- 11.2.6 Devolution .....

- 11.2.6.1 Bankruptcy .....
- 11.2.6.2 Receivership .....
- 11.2.6.3 Where Licensor is Insolvent and Restructuring.....
- 11.2.7 Terms .....
- 11.2.7.1 Assignment and Sublicensing .....
- 11.2.7.2 “Most Favoured Licensee” Clause .....
- 11.2.7.3 Reports, Inspections and Audits.....
- 11.2.7.4 Implication of Other Terms.....
- 11.2.7.5 Conditions Attaching to a Licence .....
- 11.2.8 Estoppel Against Licensee .....
- 11.2.8.1 General Principles .....
- 11.2.8.2 Where Agreement Addresses Validity .....
- 11.2.8.3 Where Not Acting Under Licence .....
- 11.2.8.4 Other Situations .....
- 11.2.9 Breach and Enforcement .....
- 11.2.10 Compulsory Licences.....
- 11.2.10.1 Abuse of Exclusive Rights .....
- 11.2.10.1.1 General Requirements and Remedies .....
- 11.2.10.1.2 Initiation of an Application.....
- 11.2.10.1.3 Reasonable Terms .....
- 11.2.10.1.4 Demand Not Being Met.....
- 11.2.10.1.5 Prejudice to Trade or Industry .....
- 11.2.10.1.6 Terms of a Compulsory Licence .....
- 11.2.10.2 Food and Medicine .....
- 11.2.10.3 Humanitarian and Public Health Use .....
- 11.2.10.4 Use of Patents for Defence Contracts .....
- 11.2.11 Tax Issues .....
- 11.3 Security Interests.....
- 11.3.1 Legal Requirements.....
- 11.3.1.1 Patent or Licence as the Object of a Security Interest.....
- 11.3.1.2 Financing Licence as a Security Interest .....
- 11.3.1.3 Licensing Collateral .....
- 11.3.1.4 Perfection and Priorities.....
- 11.3.2 Patent Securitization .....
- 11.4 Jurisdiction .....
- 11.5 Applicability of the *Competition Act*.....
- 11.5.1 Abuse of Dominant Position.....
- 11.5.2 Undue Impairment of Competition .....
- 11.5.3 Price Maintenance.....
- 11.5.4 Specialization Agreements.....
- Index.....