

# Table of Contents

|                               |      |
|-------------------------------|------|
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> ..... | v    |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> .....   | xvii |

## **Chapter 1 — Introduction** /1

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Scope of the Book .....  | 2  |
| A Brief History of the Public Welfare Offence .....  | 2  |
| Ancient history .....  | 2  |
| Mid 19th century .....   | 3  |
| 1930s .....  | 4  |
| 1940s in Australia .....   | 4  |
| 1960s .....  | 5  |
| 1970 .....   | 5  |
| 1970-1978 .....  | 6  |
| 1978 .....   | 8  |
| The Nature of Regulatory Offences .....  | 9  |
| Public welfare offences address non-criminal, unlawful conduct .....   | 9  |
| Regulatory laws use the criminal law process as a matter of expediency .....                                   | 10 |
| The purpose of the regulatory legislation is to protect .....  | 11 |
| The values protected by regulatory regimes are of fundamental importance .....                                 | 11 |
| Participants in most regulated fields do so voluntarily .....  | 12 |
| Consequences of the Unique Character of Public Welfare Offences .....  | 14 |
| <i>Mens rea</i> not required for conviction .....  | 15 |
| Once the prohibited act is proven, the onus shifts to the defendant .....                                      | 16 |
| There is a limited application of criminal law concepts .....  | 16 |
| Powers of entry and inspection and the power to compel the release of information and the <i>Charter</i> ..... | 16 |
| Self-reporting and self-regulation .....   | 17 |
| Available remedies — concomitant civil processes .....   | 19 |
| Factors that are not Unique to Public Welfare Statutes .....   | 20 |
| Rules of statutory interpretation .....  | 20 |
| Availability of common law defences .....  | 22 |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Chapter 2 — Classification of Offences</b>  | <b>/25</b> |
| Real Crimes Versus Public Welfare Offences .....   | 25         |
| Categories of Offences in <i>Sault Ste. Marie</i> .....  | 27         |
| The Presumption of Strict Liability .....  | 28         |
| Charter-Driven Classification Criteria.....  | 29         |
| The <i>Charter</i> and absolute liability .....  | 29         |
| The <i>Charter</i> and strict Liability .....  | 31         |
| Distinguishing Between <i>Mens Rea</i> and Strict Liability Offences.....  | 31         |
| Characterization based on key words alone — the test .....   | 31         |
| An extension of the test.....  | 33         |
| Words that do not connote <i>mens rea</i> .....  | 34         |
| Characterization based on the purpose of the legislation .....   | 35         |
| Distinguishing Between Absolute and Strict Liability.....  | 35         |
| What kind of wording would be required to signify an intention<br>to create an absolute liability offence? ..... | 37         |
| Applying the <i>Lévis</i> “necessity test” to the offence of speeding .....                                      | 40         |
| Does the word “shall” signal absolute liability? .....   | 42         |
| The court’s abhorrence of absolute liability .....   | 43         |
| A few examples of absolute liability offences .....  | 44         |
| Other Categories of Offences.....  | 45         |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 3 — Introduction to the Defence of Reasonable Care</b>  | <b>/47</b> |
| Reasonable Care as a Variable Standard .....   | 48         |
| The Significance of “All” in Describing Reasonable Care .....  | 49         |
| Reasonable Care Requires That Positive Steps Be Taken .....  | 52         |
| Examples in the case law where no active steps were taken.....   | 54         |
| The Conduct To Which Reasonable Care Relates: Specific Versus<br>General Action.....                             | 56         |
| What then is the significance of steps taken after the fact? .....   | 58         |
| Onus and Standard of Proof .....   | 59         |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 4 — The Second Branch of Reasonable Care — Due<br/>Diligence</b>                                      | <b>/63</b> |
| The “Shopping List” of Factors in a Due Diligence Analysis .....   | 64         |
| Our Approach.....  | 66         |
| The Pre-eminent Factor — Gravity of Potential Harm.....  | 67         |
| The Second Defining Factor — Foreseeability.....   | 71         |
| Fundamental principles .....   | 71         |
| What then is foreseeable? .....  | 73         |
| Examples of foreseeable occurrences.....   | 73         |
| Examples of unforeseeable occurrences.....   | 73         |
| Latent Defects.....  | 74         |

|   |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| <b>Chapter 5 — Factors of General Application</b>   | <b>/77</b>      |
| Level of Skill as a Due Diligence Factor .....  | 77              |
| The test of reasonableness is an objective one.....   | 80              |
| Factors increasing or decreasing the level of skill to be<br>expected .....   | 81              |
| Factors that will not justify a diminished level of skill .....   | 81              |
| Factors that may justify a lower level of skill .....   | 82              |
| Interrelationship between level of skill required and other<br>factors.....   | 82              |
| Alternatives Available to the Accused .....   | 85              |
| No alternative available.....   | 85              |
| Easy options available.....   | 86              |
| At what point is it appropriate to consider the available<br>alternatives? .....  | 88              |
| The “null” alternative.....   | 91              |
| A practical shift in onus.....  | 93              |
| The difference between due diligence resulting from a lack of<br>available alternatives and the defence of necessity..... | 93              |
| Economic Considerations as a Due Diligence Factor.....  | 94              |
| Is there a compromise position? .....   | 95              |
| How does this play out in the case law? .....   | 98              |
| What does all of this mean? .....   | 99              |
| Character of the Neighbourhood.....   | 100             |
| Interrelationship with other factors .....  | 102             |
| Abnormal Sensitivities as a Due Diligence Factor .....  | 103             |
| Introduction to Preventive Systems .....  | 104             |
| <br><b>Chapter 6 — Fact-Specific Considerations</b>   | <br><b>/105</b> |
| Knowledge of Problems Based on Previous Experience .....  | 105             |
| Knowledge as a factor in raising the standard of care .....   | 106             |
| A question of similarity.....   | 109             |
| Awareness of continuing offences .....  | 110             |
| Notice of Earlier Incidents .....   | 111             |
| What then is the relevance of a lack of earlier incidents? .....  | 112             |
| Compliance with Industry Standards.....   | 113             |
| The interrelationship between industry standards and available<br>alternatives.....                                       | 115             |
| Relevance of compliance with industry standard to mistake<br>of fact .....  | 116             |
| Adequate Equipment and Technology .....   | 117             |
| Proper maintenance, repairs and replacement .....   | 120             |
| Matters Beyond the Control of the Accused.....  | 123             |
| What then is “control”?.....  | 125             |
| Circumstances that might be beyond the control of the accused ..  | 126             |

|  |             |
|--|-------------|
| <b>Chapter 7 — Less Common Due Diligence Factors</b>                           | <b>/129</b> |
| Actions by Officials.....  | 129         |
| Failure to act .....   | 130         |
| Advice or direction.....   | 132         |
| Government interference .....  | 134         |
| Relationship to other factors .....  | 134         |
| Illegal Acts: Vandalism and Sabotage.....                                      | 134         |
| Staffing Levels .....  | 135         |
| Efforts Made to Address Problems and the Speed of Response .....               | 136         |
| Compliance with Guidelines and Codes of Practice .....                         | 138         |
| Formal standards .....   | 139         |
| Government publications .....  | 139         |
| Industry publications.....   | 141         |
| Other publications.....  | 143         |
| Legislative or Regulatory Compliance .....                                     | 143         |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>Chapter 8 — The Content of a “Proper” or Effective Preventive System</b>    | <b>/147</b> |
| Is There a Preventive System At All?.....                                      | 149         |
| Policies and Procedures .....  | 152         |
| Are there any policies or procedures at all? .....                             | 152         |
| Are the policies and procedures adequate?.....                                 | 153         |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>Chapter 9 — Implementation of a “Proper” or Effective Preventive System</b> | <b>/159</b> |
| Has the System Been Implemented At All?.....                                   | 159         |
| Instruction .....  | 162         |
| Clear and precise.....   | 163         |
| Specific to the task .....   | 164         |
| Appropriate .....  | 165         |
| Ongoing .....  | 165         |
| Written .....  | 166         |
| Communication.....   | 167         |
| Training.....  | 170         |
| Based on clear rules .....   | 173         |
| Specific to the job at hand .....  | 173         |
| Ongoing process.....   | 173         |
| Instructors or trainers must themselves be competent .....                     | 174         |
| Multi-faceted approach .....   | 174         |

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Chapter 10 — Monitoring the Operation of a “Proper” or Effective Preventive System</b>                                       | <b>/177</b> |
| Checking for Compliance .....   | 178         |
| Supervision .....   | 178         |
| Inspection .....  | 180         |
| Correction of Deficiencies .....  | 184         |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 11 — Enhancements to Preventive Systems</b>  | <b>/189</b> |
| Enhancements to Supervision .....   | 189         |
| Dedicated, experienced supervisory personnel .....  | 189         |
| Enhancements to Inspection Programs .....   | 190         |
| Random surprise inspections or spot checks .....  | 190         |
| Results of inspections recorded and communicated .....  | 191         |
| Enhancements to Training Programs .....   | 191         |
| Training supported by written materials .....   | 191         |
| Training to include a realistic opportunity to review written procedures.....   | 191         |
| Training appropriate to the age and experience of the worker .....  | 192         |
| Training beyond the “how” to include the “why” so that employees have the ability to make adjustments when problems arise ..... | 192         |
| Training to include what to do in an emergency situation .....  | 193         |
| Training to include role playing/mock enforcement exercises .....   | 193         |
| Future Directions in Preventive Systems.....  | 193         |
| Compliance Audits.....  | 193         |
| Hazard Identification and Management of Risk Systems.....   | 198         |
| Canadian approach .....   | 199         |
| Legal context and relevance to due diligence .....  | 201         |
| Emergency preparedness plans .....  | 205         |
| Codes and standards .....   | 206         |
| Legislative requirements .....  | 207         |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 12 — Who Must Take Reasonable Care?</b>  | <b>/209</b> |
| Liability Based on the Ability to Control .....   | 210         |
| Distinction between the criminal and regulatory notion of control .....   | 210         |
| Duty based on power to control and prevent .....  | 210         |
| Justification for basing liability on ability to control .....  | 211         |
| Control is an element of the offence to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.....  | 212         |
| Control as an element of the offence distinct from control in the context of due diligence.....                                 | 213         |
| Control: a finding of fact, law or mixed fact and law?.....   | 214         |
| Common factors in cases where control was found.....  | 217         |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| Factors in cases where no control was found.....  | 220        |
| Summary of factors.....   | 222        |
| Liability Based on the Parties to an Offence Provision in the <i>Criminal Code</i> and Provincial Offences Procedure Statutes ..... | 223        |
| Liability Imposed By Other Legislation .....  | 227        |
| Specific Classes of Persons to Whom Liability Might Extend .....  | 228        |
| Directors and officers of the corporation.....  | 228        |
| Shareholders .....  | 233        |
| Landlords .....   | 235        |
| Purchasers, lenders and insolvency practitioners .....  | 238        |
| Consultants.....  | 239        |
| The Liability of Government and Government Officials.....   | 241        |
| Examples of <i>R. v. R.</i> prosecutions.....   | 243        |
| Examples of prosecutions against municipalities .....   | 244        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 13 — Employee Error and Employee Misconduct</b> .....  | <b>247</b> |
| Circumstances Where Employee Error Has Not Provided a Defence.....  | 252        |
| Circumstances Where Employee Error Has Provided a Defence .....   | 254        |
| Employee Error — Where Does “Foreseeability” Stop?.....   | 257        |
| Conclusion .....  | 259        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 14 — The First Branch of Reasonable Care: Mistake of Fact</b> .....  | <b>261</b> |
| Mistake of Fact: The Criminal Context.....  | 261        |
| Mistake of Fact: The Regulatory Context .....   | 262        |
| The mistake must have been subjectively honest.....   | 263        |
| The mistake must have been objective honest; that is, based on reasonable ground.....   | 263        |
| The inquiry itself must have been reasonable.....   | 264        |
| The mistake must be relevant .....  | 266        |
| The mistake must be about belief in actual facts not prospective possibilities.....   | 267        |
| The mistake must not be about degree of risk .....  | 267        |
| Negating mistake .....  | 268        |
| Is there a difference between mistake of fact and due diligence in the regulatory context?.....                                     | 269        |
| Mistake of Law Versus Mistake of Fact.....  | 269        |
| The rule in criminal law .....  | 269        |
| The regulatory application .....  | 271        |
| Distinguishing between mistakes of law and mistakes of fact: a necessary legal headache.....  | 271        |
| The future of the law .....   | 273        |
| Officially Induced Error .....  | 274        |
| What does the future hold for officially induced error?.....  | 280        |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Other Exceptions — When Ignorance of the Law May Be an<br>Excuse..... | 281 |
| Where knowledge of the law is an element of the offence .....         | 281 |
| “Invincible mistake of law” .....                                     | 281 |
| Statutory exception for colour of right .....                         | 282 |
| Are there other exceptions? .....                                     | 283 |

**Chapter 15 — Collateral Attacks on the Validity of Administrative Orders  
and Other Instruments /285**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Collateral Attacks on Administrative Orders ..... | 286 |
| Collateral Attacks on Permits or Licences .....   | 289 |

**Chapter 16 — Other Defences to Public Welfare Offences /291**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Acts of God .....  | 291 |
| General principles .....   | 291 |
| Applicability to public welfare offences .....                                 | 292 |
| Impossibility and Necessity .....  | 295 |
| General principles .....   | 295 |
| Applicability to public welfare offences .....                                 | 296 |
| Requirement for an evidentiary basis.....                                      | 297 |
| Cases where the defence of necessity or impossibility has<br>succeeded .....   | 298 |
| Is there a defence of economic necessity?.....                                 | 300 |
| The relationship between necessity or impossibility and due<br>diligence ..... | 301 |
| Abuse of Process .....   | 301 |
| General principles .....   | 301 |
| Applicability of public welfare offences .....                                 | 303 |
| Broken promises as abuses of process.....                                      | 303 |
| Requirement for an evidentiary basis.....                                      | 307 |
| Abuse of process and the <i>Charter</i> .....                                  | 308 |
| Issue Estoppel .....   | 308 |
| Entrapment .....   | 310 |
| Entrapment generally .....   | 310 |
| Entrapment in regulatory proceedings .....                                     | 312 |
| <i>De Minimis Non Curat Lex</i> .....  | 314 |
| General principles .....   | 314 |
| Application in criminal context .....  | 314 |
| Application to public welfare offences .....                                   | 316 |
| Is there a better approach? .....  | 317 |
| Do These Common Law Defences Apply to Absolute Liability<br>Offences?.....     | 318 |
| Discussion in the case law .....   | 319 |
| Conclusion .....   | 320 |

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Chapter 17 — Evidentiary and Other Legal Issues in Establishing Reasonable Care</b>                          | <b>/323</b> |
| Timing — When to Call Evidence of Due Diligence .....   | 323         |
| Is There Evidence of a Preventive System? .....   | 325         |
| Mistaken Characterization of Evidence of Due Diligence or Lack Thereof as Similar Fact Evidence .....           | 327         |
| Evidence of Steps Taken After the Event .....   | 330         |
| Are Compliance Audits Privileged?.....  | 331         |
| Solicitor-client privilege .....  | 332         |
| Litigation privilege .....  | 335         |
| Onus .....  | 336         |
| Due Diligence: A Question of Law or Fact? .....   | 337         |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 18 — Corporations</b>  | <b>/341</b> |
| Liability of the Corporation .....  | 341         |
| Identification theory .....   | 342         |
| The “Westray” amendments to the <i>Criminal Code</i> .....  | 344         |
| The liability of corporations for non- <i>mens rea</i> or strict liability offences .....                       | 348         |
| Express imposition of liability on corporations in regulatory statutes .....                                    | 349         |
| Implied imposition of liability on corporations in regulatory statutes .....                                    | 349         |
| Does identification theory have any relevance to regulatory offences? .....                                     | 350         |
| Corporations and <i>Charter</i> Rights .....  | 352         |
| Does the corporation have status to apply for a <i>Charter</i> remedy?.....                                     | 352         |
| Unique Evidentiary Matters — Admissibility of Statements by Employees or Agents of the Accused Corporation..... | 358         |
| Basis for admissibility .....   | 359         |
| Prerequisites for admissibility .....   | 359         |
| Does there have to be independent proof of authority?.....  | 360         |
| Are questions of voluntariness or <i>Charter</i> implications relevant?.....                                    | 361         |
| Procedural requirements for admissibility .....   | 362         |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>Chapter 19 — The Future</b>  | <b>/365</b> |
| Ticketing.....  | 365         |
| Administrative Penalties .....  | 366         |
| The proliferation and increased use of AMPS .....   | 367         |
| What are administrative penalties? .....  | 367         |
| What is the purpose of administrative penalties? .....  | 368         |
| Perceived benefits of the use of AMPS .....   | 369         |



|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Is a defence of due diligence available?.....                       | 370 |
| Is there any mechanism for challenging an AMP? .....                | 374 |
| Potential problems with the use of AMPS .....                       | 374 |
| Using AMPS to replace prosecution for serious offences ....         | 374 |
| The double jeopardy problem.....                                    | 377 |
| The misuse of language to “bootstrap” procedural<br>shortcuts ..... | 377 |
| Absolute liability in disguise.....                                 | 379 |
| Diminished capacity to investigate the criminal standard .....      | 380 |
| Conclusion .....  | 381 |
| <i>Index</i> .....  | 383 |