

Index

User's Guide

In this Index, entry headings are listed in alphabetical order and refer the reader to general areas, e.g., ADMISSIBILITY, WEIGHT, etc. Heading numbers are given instead of page numbers.

Many entries also include a reference to specific cases cited in that section. (The case names are italicized and surrounded by parentheses.)

Where applicable, subentries have been arranged according to the medium through which the evidence was presented, e.g., photographs, motion picture films, videotapes, etc. Cross-references are also included.

- ADAMS v. CONFEDERATION
 - LIFE INSURANCE CO. See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- ADMISSIBILITY
 - basis for
 - illustrative theory 2.2(a)
 - silent witness theory 2.2(b)
 - checklist for counsel tendering
 - videotape 2.5
 - criteria for admission
 - digital images on CDs and DVDs 2.3(c), 34.3
 - photographs and motion picture films 2.3(a)
 - videotapes
 - accuracy 2.3(b)(ii)
 - fairness and absence of intention to mislead 2.3(b)(iii)
 - probative value vs. prejudicial effect 2.3(b)(v)
 - R. v. Seaboyer* 2.3(b)(v)
 - R. v. Brown* 2.3(b)(v)
 - relevancy 2.3(b)(i)
 - verification on oath by capable witness 2.3(b)(iv)
- R. v. Nikolovski* 2.3(b)(iv)
- R. v. Penney* 2.3(b)(iv)
- voir dire, requirement for 2.3(d)
- in foreign jurisdictions
 - Australia 4.1
 - civil courts 4.1(c)
 - criminal courts 4.1(b)
 - England 3.2
 - civil courts 3.2(c)
 - criminal courts 3.2(b)
 - historical background 3.2(a)
 - Hong Kong 5.1
 - Ireland 3.4
 - New Zealand 4.2
 - criminal courts 4.2(b)
 - Rhodesia 5.2
 - Scotland 3.3
 - civil courts 3.3(a)
 - criminal courts 3.3(b)
 - South Africa 5.2
 - United States 5.3, 5.4
- in military courts
 - Canada 6.3
 - confessions of suspects 6.3(d)
 - evidence on Commission 6.3(b)
 - testimony by graphic media 6.3(a)
 - view by Court Martial 6.3(c)

INDEX

- United States 6.2
- “photographic” vs. “videotape”
evidence 2.1
- ADMISSION, OBJECTIONS TO See
OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- ALARM AND SECURITY
INDUSTRY ISSUES
liability for “failure to respond” 40.3
liability for inadequate or defective
security 40.2
- ARCHAMBAULT v. KALANDI
ANSTALT See VIDEO LINKS:
CCTV VIDEO CONFERENCING
- ARSENAULT, R. v. See CROWN
DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC
ACCESS, videotapes
- ASSESSMENTS AND
PSYCHIATRIC INTERVIEWS
assessments under Criminal Code
29.2(a)
psychiatric interviews, videotaping by
defence 29.2(b)
- B., RE See FAMILY LAW
- B. (K.G.), R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO
ADMISSION
- BADGEROW, R. v. See RE-
ENACTMENTS AND
CONFESSIONS (VISUAL
EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL
PROCEEDINGS)
- BAPTISTE, R. v. See OBJECTIONS
TO ADMISSION and CRIME
SCENES
- BEAMISH, R. v. See OBJECTIONS
TO ADMISSION
- BELLAMY v. JOHNSON See EX
PARTE EVENTS, demonstrations
- BERGSTROM, R. v. See CROWN
DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC
ACCESS, videotapes
- BEST EVIDENCE RULE 8.4
- BLENCOWE, R. v. See CROWN
DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC
ACCESS, videotapes
- BRADBURY v. TRAISE See
SURVEILLANCE OF
SUSPECTED MALINGERING
PLAINTIFFS
- BRADLEY v. BRADLEY See VIDEO
LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO
CONFERENCING
- BREWERS RETAIL INC. v.
UNITED BREWERS’
WAREHOUSING WORKERS’
PROVINCIAL BOARD See
SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE IN
LABOUR ARBITRATIONS
- BROWN, R. v. See ADMISSIBILITY,
criteria for admission
- BROWNLEE v. DANYLUK See
SURVEILLANCE OF
SUSPECTED MALINGERING
PLAINTIFFS
- CANADIAN BROADCASTING
CORP. v. R. See CROWN
DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC
ACCESS, public access to videotape
exhibits
- CASINO SURVEILLANCE
authentication of surveillance
videotapes 23.2
Canadian cases 23.3
cheating at play and other gaming
offences 23.3(c)
Crown obligation to disclose
surveillance videotapes 23.3(a)
identification of accused persons
from surveillance videotapes
23.3(b)
labour relations cases 23.4
- CCTV See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV
AND VIDEO CONFERENCING

INDEX

- CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS See SURVEILLANCE IN THE WORKPLACE, CRIME SCENES and RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)
- CHILDREN'S AID SOCIETY OF TORONTO v. M. (D.) See FAMILY LAW
- CHMARA v. NGUYEN See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- COLLINS, R. v. See RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)
- COLOUR DISTORTION See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- COMMISSION EVIDENCE 29.3
- COMPUTER-GENERATED VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CIVIL CASES
 - admissibility, keys to
 - accuracy, ensuring and proving 30.3(c)
 - disclosure 30.3(g)
 - forensic animator as expert witness 30.3(f)
 - necessary modifications 30.3(e)
 - planning stage 30.3(a)
 - possible variations, allowing for 30.3(d)
 - relevancy 30.3(b)
 - applications
 - aviation accidents 30.2(g)
 - catastrophe (fire, explosion, toxic spill) 30.2(f)
 - mechanical failure 30.2(b)
 - medical injuries and malpractice 30.2(d)
 - motor vehicular 30.2(a)
 - patent infringement 30.2(e)
 - product liability 30.2(c)
 - computer-generated images CV-1 — CV-8
 - definition 30.1(a)
 - history of forensic imaging 30.1(b)
 - production considerations
 - colours, use of 30.4(d)
 - cost and duration of animation 30.4(c)
 - courtroom presentation 30.4(g)
 - production time 30.4(a)
 - replication of human form 30.4(f)
 - storyboarding 30.4(b)
 - videos, photos, films, x-rays, incorporation of 30.4(e)
 - technical information
 - hardware 30.5(b)
 - software 30.5(a)
- COMPUTER-GENERATED VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL CASES
 - admissibility, keys to
 - accuracy, ensuring and proving 31.4(f)
 - forensic animator as expert witness 31.4(c)
 - necessary modifications 31.4(e)
 - planning stage: sue and admissibility 31.4(a)
 - possible variations, allowing for 31.4(d)
 - relevancy 31.4(b)
 - case profiles
 - R. v. De Castro* 31.6(c)
 - R. v. McMahon* 31.6(a)
 - R. v. Suzack* 31.6(b)
 - computer-generated images
 - ***missing from vol. 2 — need pg. #
 - definition 31.1(a)
 - history of forensic imaging 31.1(b)
 - production considerations
 - colours, use of 31.5(d)
 - cost and duration of animation 31.5(c)
 - courtroom presentation 31.5(g)
 - production time 31.5(a)
 - replication of human form 31.5(f)
 - storyboarding 31.5(b)

INDEX

- videos, photos, films, x-rays, incorporation of 31.5(e)
- scene documentation, traditional methods of
 - photographs 31.2(b)
 - scale diagrams 31.2(a)
 - scale models 31.2(d)
 - videotapes 31.2(c)
- technical information
 - hardware 31.7(b)
 - software 31.7(a)
- 3D reconstruction and animation — case
 - applications
 - fire and explosion 31.1(e)
 - firearm related incidents 31.3(b)
 - replication of lighting 31.3(d)
 - scene documentation 31.3(a)
 - vehicular: fail to remain, vehicular homicide 31.3(c)
- COOPER, R. v. See RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)
- CORONER'S INQUESTS 29.7
- C.(R.), R. v. See CRIME SCENES
- CRIME SCENES
 - crimes-in-progress 20.2
 - during televised sports events 20.2(a)
 - grounds for exclusion 20.2(a)(ii)
 - reported use 20.2(a)(i)
 - picket lines disturbances 20.2(c)(i)
 - political demonstrations 20.2(c)(ii)
 - radar camera pictures of speeders 20.2(d)
 - robberies, thefts and mischief 20.2(b)
 - R. v. McNeil* 20.2(b)
 - R. v. Padmore* 20.2(b)
 - preservation of crime scene evidence 20.4
 - photographs of accused 20.4(b)
 - effect on accused's Charter rights 20.4(b)(i)
 - to rebut allegation of police assault 20.4(b)(ii)
 - photographs of seized property 20.4(a)
 - views of crime scenes 20.3
 - crime scene pictures 20.3(b)
 - objections to 20.3(c)
 - R. v. Baptiste* 20.3(c)
 - R. v. C.(R.)* 20.3(c)
 - R. v. Mackay (K.D.)* 20.3(c)
 - R. v. Sood* 20.3(c)
 - Criminal Code view 20.3(a)
- CRIMINAL SUSPECTS, SURVEILLANCE OF See SURVEILLANCE OF CRIMINAL SUSPECTS
- CRONE v. BLUE CROSS LIFE INSURANCE CO. OF CANADA See EX PARTE EVENTS, demonstrations
- CROWN DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC ACCESS
 - public access to videotape exhibits 2A.3
 - rights of accused depicted in videotapes 2A.3(b)
 - use of videotaped exhibits filed in preliminary inquiry 2A.3(b)(v)
 - Canadian Broadcasting Corp. v. R.* 2A.3(b)(v)
 - CTV Television Inc. v. R.* 2A.3(b)(v)
 - use of videotaped exhibits pending appeal 2A.3(b)(iii)
 - use of videotaped exhibits pending trials of co-accused 2A.3(b)(iv)
 - R. v. Warren* 2A.3(b)(iv)
 - videotapes ruled admissible at trial 2A.3(b)(ii)
 - R. v. Van Seters* 2A.3(b)(ii)
 - videotapes ruled inadmissible at trial 2A.3(b)(i)
 - rights of victims depicted in videotapes 2A.3(a)
 - French Estate v. Ontario (Attorney General)* 2A.3(a)
 - videotapes 2A.2

INDEX

- compelling production of unedited transcript of videotape 2A.2(c)
 - R. v. Newsome* 2A.2(c)
- duty to disclose videotapes held by provincial government 2A.2(a)
 - R. v. Arsenault*
- inadvertent destruction or loss by police 2A.2(b)
 - R. v. Bergstrom* 2A.2(b)
- videotapes seized by police from accused 2A.2(d)
 - R. v. Blencowe* 2A.2(d)
- CTV TELEVISION INC. v. R. See CROWN DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC ACCESS, public access to videotape exhibits
- D.(A.), R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- DE BENE ESSE EVIDENCE See DEPOSITION, COMMISSION AND DE BENE ESSE EVIDENCE
- DE CARVALHO v. WATSON See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- DEMPSEY v. WAX See EX PARTE EVENTS, demonstrations
- DEPOSITION, COMMISSION AND DE BENE ESSE EVIDENCE
 - Canada — Federal Court rules 14.3, 36.2(d)
 - Canada — provincial rules 14.2
 - British Columbia 14.2(b)
 - Ontario 14.2(a)
 - former rules — pre-1985 14.2(a)(i)
 - new rules — 1985 to present 14.2(a)(ii)
 - Saskatchewan 14.2(c)
 - checklist for counsel recording deposition evidence on videotape 14.5
 - foreign jurisdictions 14.4
 - England 14.4(a)
- DEVJI v. LONGO BROTHERS FRUIT MARKETS INC. See
- SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- DISTORTION
 - colour distortion 7.5
 - electronic distortion 7.7(a)
 - optical distortion 7.6
 - photographs 9.2
 - size and context distortion 7.7(b)
 - soundtrack distortion 7.3
 - static distortion 7.7(c)
 - tape and film speed distortion 7.4
- DIX, R. v. See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- DVDs See 2.3(d) and 34.3
- EDITING See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- EMPLOYEES, SUSPECTED MALINGERING See SURVEILLANCE IN THE WORKPLACE
- EX PARTE EVENTS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS)
 - demonstrations 10.4
 - medical examinations 10.4(d)
 - Alberta 10.4(d)(ii)
 - Crone v. Blue Cross Life Insurance Co. of Canada* 10.4(d)(ii)
 - British Columbia 10.4(d)(iii)
 - Heisler v. Leffer* 10.4(d)(iii)
 - Wong (Guardian ad litem of) v. Wong* 10.4(d)(iii)
 - Ontario 10.4(d)(i)
 - Bellamy v. Johnson* 10.4(d)(i)
 - Dempsey v. Wax* 10.4(d)(i)
 - Willits v. Johnston* 10.4(d)(i)
 - scene-based demonstrations 10.4(b)
 - substantial similarity test 10.4(a)
 - substantially similar circumstances 10.4(c)
 - rebutting presumption of death 10.6(a)

INDEX

- reconstructions and re-enactments 10.3
 - definitions 10.3(a)
 - motor vehicle accident reconstructions 10.3(c)
 - objections to reconstructions or re-enactments
 - depiction not sufficiently similar 10.3(b)(i)
 - misleading 10.3(b)(ii)
 - ultimate issue doctrine 10.3(b)(iii)
- tests and experiments 10.5
 - in-court experiments 10.5(b)
 - out-of-court testing 10.5(a)
- views by videotape 10.2
 - inspection of the scene 10.2(a)
 - accident scene 10.2(a)(i)
 - aerial views 10.2(a)(vii)
 - animals 10.2(a)(xvii)
 - commercial activity 10.2(a)(x)
 - drowning site 10.2(a)(xv)
 - invasion of privacy 10.2(a)(ix)
 - land development site 10.2(a)(xiv)
 - landlord and tenant matters 10.2(a)(xvi)
 - loading ramp 10.2(a)(xii)
 - manufacturing processes and facilities 10.2(a)(iii)
 - marine views 10.2(a)(vi)
 - parks, federal and provincial 10.2(a)(xiii)
 - picket lines 10.2(a)(v)
 - route taken 10.2(a)(iv)
 - terrain 10.2(a)(viii)
 - vehicles 10.2(a)(ii)
 - preservation of property 10.2(b)
- FAMILY LAW**
 - child custody and child access proceedings 26.2
 - B., Re* 26.2
 - child welfare and child protection proceedings 26.3
 - B., Re* 26.3
 - Children's Aid Society of Toronto v. M. (D.)* 26.3
 - other uses for videotape 26.8
 - property on marriage breakdown 26.4
- FANCY v. MUTUAL OF OMAHA INSURANCE CO.** See **SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS**
- FEDERAL COURTS, USE IN**
 - generally 36.1
 - statutory framework and the Rules 36.2
 - demonstrative evidence 36.2(e)
 - deposition 14.3, 36.2(d)
 - discovery 36.2(c)
 - examinations out of court 36.2(b)
 - remote conferencing 25.7, 36.2(a)
 - technological assistance 36.2(a)
- FERENCZY v. MCI MEDICAL CLINICS** See **SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS**
- FIRLOTTE, R. v.** See **RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)**
- FLIR DEVICE** (forward-looking infrared device) See **INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY**
- FOBEL v. DEAN** See **SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS**
- FOREMAN, R. v.** See **OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION**
- FORENSIC VIDEO ANALYSIS**
 - case law — civil 32.3
 - case law — criminal 32.4
 - certification of forensic video analysts 32.8
 - definition 32.1
 - procedure — satisfying chain of custody requirement
 - “before” and “after” rule 32.2(a)
 - downloading from DVR or computer hard drive 32.2(b)

INDEX

- FRENCH ESTATE v. ONTARIO (ATTORNEY GENERAL) See CROWN DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC ACCESS, public access to videotape exhibits
- GUARANTEE CO. OF NORTH AMERICA v. NUYTTEN See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- HANNA, R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- HEARSAY
audio narration 8.3(b)
exceptions to the rule against hearsay 8.3(a)
video depiction 8.3(c)
- HILL, R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- HINDESSA, R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY 9A.2
R. v. Tessling 9A.2
- INTOXICATED DRIVERS, VIDEOTAPE RECORDING OF
failure to record condition of accused 29.8(b)(ii)
loss or destruction of videotape 29.8(b)(iii)
sobriety testing 29.8(b)(i)
- KLASSEN, R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- L. (V.P.), R. v. See WEIGHT, use at preliminary inquiry
- LABOUR ARBITRATIONS See SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE IN LABOUR ARBITRATIONS
- LANDOLFI v. FARGIONE See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- LAUBE v. JUCHLI See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- LEE, R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- LIS v. LOMBARD INSURANCE CO. See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- LOMAX v. WEINS See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- MACDONALD, R. v. See RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)
- MACKAY (K.D.), R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION and CRIME SCENES
- MALINGERING EMPLOYEES See SURVEILLANCE IN THE WORKPLACE
- MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- MALONEY, R. v. See ADMISSIBILITY, criteria for admission
- MCNEIL, R. v. See CRIME SCENES
- MEDICAL DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE
anatomical charts, models and exhibits 16.2
advantages over other methods 16.2(c)
case law review 16.2(d)
preparation 16.2(b)
medical illustration — case law 16.6
medical illustrations MI-1 — MI-8

INDEX

- preparation of customized medical illustration exhibits for use in courtroom 16.5
- thermography 16.4
 - advantages over other methods 16.4(d)
 - case law review 16.4(e)
 - medical uses 16.4(b)
 - preparation 16.4(c)
- x-rays, ultrasounds and other scans 16.3
 - ultrasound scans 16.3(b)
 - x-rays 16.3(a)
- MEISTER, R. v. See RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)
- MICHELS v. SHAW See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- MISREPRESENTATION AND DISTORTION IN PHOTOGRAPHS
 - See also DISTORTION
 - checklist for detecting distortion and misrepresentation 9.2(f)
 - contact sheet 9.2(a)
 - Figure 1 [9.3(a)]
 - effect of light source and viewing conditions 9.2(b)
 - fabrication and falsification 9.2(e)
 - multiple printing technique 9.2(e)(i)
 - retouching 9.2(e)(ii)
 - kinds of distortion 9.2(c)
 - mechanical alteration 9.2(c)(i)
 - cropped image — Figure 6 [9.3(d)(i)] and Figure 7 [9.3(d)(ii)]
 - right-left reversal — Figure 2 [9.3(b)(i)] and Figure 3 [9.3(b)(ii)]
 - shifted image — Figure 8 [9.3(e)(i)] and Figure 9 [9.3(e)(ii)]
 - up and down reversal — Figure 4 [9.3(c)(i)] and Figure 5 [9.3(c)(ii)]
 - optical distortion 9.2(d)
 - “normal”, wide angle and telephoto lens
 - 135mm lens — Figure 10 [9.3(f)(i)]
 - 50 mm lens — Figure 11 [9.3(f)(ii)]
 - 35 mm lens — Figure 12 [9.3(f)(iii)]
 - 20 mm lens — Figure 13 [9.3(f)(iv)]
 - 50 mm lens — Figure 14 [9.3(f)(v)]
 - 20 mm lens — Figure 15 [9.3(f)(vi)]
 - parallel lines 9.2(d)(ii)
 - Figure 16 [9.3(g)(i)]
 - Figure 17 [9.3(g)(ii)]
 - point of view 9.2(d)(iii)
 - Figure 18 [9.3(h)(i)]
 - Figure 19 [9.3(h)(ii)]
- NEW DOMINION STORES v. R.W.D.S.U., LOCAL 414 See SURVEILLANCE IN LABOUR ARBITRATIONS
- NEWSOME, R. v. See CROWN DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC ACCESS, videotapes
- NIKITIN, R. v. See RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)
- NIKOLOVSKI, R. v. See ADMISSIBILITY, criteria for admission, and SURVEILLANCE OF CRIMINAL SUSPECTS
- OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
 - non-technical objections
 - best evidence rule 8.4
 - hearsay 8.3
 - audio narration 8.3(b)
 - exceptions to the rule against hearsay 8.3(a)

INDEX

- R. v. Lee* 8.3(a)
 - R. v. B. (K.G.)* 8.3(a)
 - video depiction 8.3(c)
 - prejudicial effect 8.2
 - innuendo of suspicion 8.2(d)
 - over-emphasis 8.2(c)
 - gruesome pictures 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Baptiste* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Beamish* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. D.(A.)* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Foreman* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Hanna* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Hill* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Hindessa* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Klassen* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Mackay (K.D.)* 8.2(b)
 - R. v. Sood* 8.2(b)
 - sympathy arousing pictures 8.2(a)
 - self-serving and cumulative 8.5
 - technical objections
 - checklist for counsel 7.8
 - colour distortion 7.5
 - colour inaccuracy 7.5(a)
 - light distortion 7.5(b)
 - editing
 - audio editing 7.2(b)
 - generally 7.2
 - R. v. Pena* 7.2
 - R. v. Ramos* 7.2
 - video editing 7.2(a)
 - electronic distortion 7.7(a)
 - optical distortion 7.6
 - lens type and angle of view 7.6(b)
 - proper perspective — use of perspective grid 7.6(c)
 - size and context distortion 7.7(b)
 - soundtrack distortion 7.3
 - audio filtering 7.3(c)
 - background noise level 7.3 (a)
 - inaudible soundtrack 7.3(b)
 - use of transcript 7.3(d)
 - static distortion 7.7(c)
 - tape and film speed distortion 7.4
 - fast motion 7.4(a)
 - slow motion 7.4(b)
 - stop motion/freeze frame 7.4(c)
- ONOFRICHUK v. SIMPSON See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
 - OPTICAL DISTORTION See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION, technical objections
 - PACK ALL MANUFACTURING INC. v. TRIAD PLASTICS INC. See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
 - PADMORE, R. v. See CRIME SCENES
 - PAQUET v. JACKMAN See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
 - PENA, R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION, technical objections
 - PENNEY, R. v. See ADMISSIBILITY, criteria for admission
 - PENNEY v. MANITOBA PUBLIC INSURANCE CORPORATION See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
 - PFEIFER v. WESTFAIR FOODS LTD. See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
 - PHOTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE See ADMISSIBILITY
 - PIPEDA (PERSONAL INFORMATION PROTECTION AND ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS ACT) Federal Privacy Commissioner, decisions of 27.2
 - condo resident alleges misuse of condo security camera system 27.2(o)
 - developing policy for using surveillance cameras in the workplace 27.2(g)

INDEX

- photographing tenant's apartment without consent by property management 27.2(k)
- photographs of individual by private investigation firm 27.2(p)
- surveillance at food processing plant 27.2(i)
- surveillance at retail store used to collect personal information 27.2(q)
- surveillance by employer arising from relationship between two employees 27.2(l)
- surveillance by insurer's private investigator of MVA plaintiff 27.2(j)
- surveillance in the workplace — CP Railway case 27.2(c)
- surveillance of bus terminal employees 27.2(m)
- surveillance of employee by private investigator 27.2(f)
- surveillance of another individual also covers mother and daughter 27.2(n)
- surveillance of public places — Centurion Security in Yellowknife 27.2(a)
- surveillance photos of wrong person given to police 27.2(b)
- using web cameras to monitor performance of employees 27.2(h)
- video cameras and swipe cards in the workplace 27.2(d)
- video cameras in the workplace — railway workers 27.2(e)
- webcam monitoring of daycare centre 27.2(r)
- Federal Privacy Commissioner, guidelines from 27.3
 - guidance on covert video surveillance in private sector 27.3(c)
 - Guidelines for Overt Video Surveillance in Private Sector 27.3(b)
 - OPC Guidelines for Use of Video Surveillance of Public Places by Police and Law Enforcement Authorities 27.3(a)
- PLAINTIFF'S INJURIES
 - day-in-the-life documentaries 11.2, 11.3, 11.4
 - definition 11.2(a)
 - objections to admission 11.2(c)
 - planning and producing of 11.3
 - preparation and presentation 11.2(d)
 - reported use 11.2(b)
 - using or challenging 11.4
 - pictures of 11.1
 - prejudicial effect 11.1(b)
 - preparation and presentation — pictures of plaintiff at hospital 11.1(d)
 - use on discovery and at trial 11.1(c)
- PLAINTIFFS, SUSPECTED MALINGERING See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- PREJUDICIAL EFFECT, See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES 29.4
- PUBLIC ACCESS TO VIDEOTAPE EXHIBITS See CROWN DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC ACCESS
- RAMOS, R. v. See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
- RECONSTRUCTIONS AND RE-ENACTMENTS See EX PARTE EVENTS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS)
- RECORDING EVIDENCE ON VIDEOTAPE, STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES
 - checklists for counsel acquiring video system 15.6
 - equipment, selecting and acquiring 15.5
 - picture composition 15.3
 - production notes 15.7

INDEX

- camera techniques and movements
 - 15.7(a), 15.7(c)
 - client's needs analysis and profile 15.7(d)
 - scriptwriting tips 15.7(b)
- recording environment 15.2
- recording equipment 15.4
- technical notes 15.8
 - audio 15.8(h)
 - colour bars 15.8(c)
 - colour monitor, selection of 15.8(d)
 - colour monitor set-up 15.8(e)
 - colour test signals 15.8(f)
 - technical history of television 15.8(a)
 - video formats 15.8(g)
 - video test instruments 15.8(b)
- RE-ENACTMENTS AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS)**
 - confessions of accused persons 21.4
 - definition and history 21.4(a)
 - Law Reform Commission Project 21.4(b)
 - reported use 21.4(c)
 - use of typed transcript of videotaped confession 21.4(d)
 - use of videotapes during jury deliberations 21.4(e)
 - crimes, re-enactments and reconstructions of 21.2
 - accused's right to counsel 21.2(c)
 - confession, re-enactment or 21.2(f)
 - definition and admissibility 21.2(a)
 - re-enacting crime on advice of defence counsel 21.2(d)
 - re-enactments and views of crime scenes 21.2(e)
 - severance of trials of co-accused 21.2(b)
 - when is a re-enactment not a re-enactment? 21.2(g)
 - cross-examinations by reference to videotape — Canada Evidence Act 21.6
 - experiments 21.3
 - statements of criminal suspects 21.5
 - statements of witnesses 21.7
- RICHARD v. DOELL** See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- RODGER v. STROP** See ADMISSIBILITY, criteria for admission
- SALAME v. NEILSON** See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- SEABOYER, R. v.** See ADMISSIBILITY, criteria for admission, and WEIGHT
- SEDONA CANADA PRINCIPLES ADDRESSING ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY** 33
- SENTENCING HEARINGS**
 - surveillance videotapes 29.6(a)
 - victim impact statements 29.6(b)
- SEXUAL OFFENCES, VIDEOTAPED EVIDENCE**
 - evidence of complainant 29.5(a)
 - Ontario Evidence Act - s. 18.3 29.5(b)
- SHEPHERD v. CANADA (ATTORNEY GENERAL)** See VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- SIDHU v. WARD** See SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
- SMALL CLAIMS COURT, USE IN**
 - checklist for judges and deputy judges 34.5
 - laying the foundation 34.4
 - statutory framework and the Rules 34.2, 34.3
- SOOD, R. v.** See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION and CRIME SCENES
- SOUNDTRACK DISTORTION** See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION

INDEX

- STEVENS v. OKRAINEC See
SURVEILLANCE OF
SUSPECTED MALINGERING
PLAINTIFFS
- SUBSTANTIAL SIMILARITY TEST
See EX PARTE EVENTS,
reconstructions and re-enactments
- SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE IN
LABOUR ARBITRATIONS
admissibility and weight 13A.2
evidentiary use at arbitration
hearings 13A.3
surveillance in workplace 13A.3(a)
entrance gates 13A.3(a)(i)
other surveillance issues — se-
curity guards monitoring other
employees 13A.3(a)(v)
picket line activity 13A.3(a)(ii)
union activities 13A.3(a)(iv)
*U.F.C.W., Local 175 v. Price
Club St. Laurent Inc.*
13A.3(a)(iv)
work areas 13A.3(a)(iii)
*Brewers Retail Inc .v. United
Brewers' Warehousing Work-
ers' Provincial Board*
13A.3(a)(iii)
*New Dominion Stores v.
R.W.S.D.U., Local 414*
13A.3(a)(iii)
*Thibodeau-Finch Express Inc.
v. Teamsters Union, Local 880*
13A.3(a)(iii)
*U.F.C.W., Local 1400 v.
Saskatoon Co-operative Assn
Ltd.* 13A.3(a)(iii)
*Union of Calgary Co-opera-
tive Employees v. Calgary
Cooperative Assn.*
13A.3(a)(iii)
surveillance outside the workplace
— sick leave, disability 13A.3(b)
Quebec — Code of Labour Law
13A.4
- SURVEILLANCE IN THE
WORKPLACE
authentication 13.2
Criminal Code, effect of 13.3
video signage — wording and
placement 13.3(a)
privacy rights under the Charter 13.4
under provincial legislation 13.4(b)
under the Charter 13.4(a)
procedures 13.8
suspected malingering employees
13.6
jurisdiction of civil court and
arbitrator 13.6(b)
refuting alleged disability 13.6(a)
workers' compensation decisions
13.6(c)
technical issues 13.7
testifying in court 13.9
authenticating witnesses 13.9(a)
trial transcript of examination of
security manager 13.9(b)
wrongful dismissal actions 13.5
- SURVEILLANCE OF CRIMINAL
SUSPECTS
authentication 22.2
eye-witness 22.2(a)
non-eye-witness 22.2(b)
expert witness 22.2(b)(ii)
qualified witness 22.2(b)(i)
Criminal Code privacy provisions
22.4
case law 22.4(e)
pre-1973 22.4(a)
Protection of Privacy Act (1973 to
July 31, 1993) 22.4(b)
Protection of Privacy Act (August
1, 1993 to present) 22.4(c)
damages to person harmed
22.4(c)(vi)
disclosure of information of-
fence 22.4(c)(v)
evidentiary rule 22.4(c)(ii)
forfeiture 22.4(c)(iv)
information for general warrant
22.4(c)(vii)
reasonable expectation of priv-
acy 22.4(c)(ix)
unlawful possession etc. of in-
tercepting device 22.4(c)(iii)
unlawful interception 22.4(c)(i)
video surveillance 22.4(c)(viii)
summary 22.4(d)
definition and purpose 22.1

INDEX

- identification 22.3
 - opinion evidence 22.3(b)
 - R. v. Nikolovski* 22.3(b)
 - videotape lineups 22.3(a)
- SURVEILLANCE OF SUSPECTED MALINGERING PLAINTIFFS
 - disclosure of surveillance evidence 12.3
 - Alberta 12.3(c)
 - Adams v. Confederation Life Insurance Co.* 12.3(c)
 - Laube v. Juchli* 12.3(c)
 - Pfeifer v. Westfair Foods Ltd.* 12.3(c)
 - Stevens v. Okrainec* 12.3(c)
 - British Columbia 12.3(b)
 - Brownlee v. Danyluk* 12.3(b)
 - Paquet v. Jackman* 12.3(b)
 - Sidhu v. Ward* 12.3(b)
 - England 12.3(j)
 - Manitoba 12.3(e)
 - Chmara v. Nguyen* 12.3(e)
 - Penney v. Manitoba Public Insurance Corporation* 12.3(e)
 - New Brunswick 12.3(f)
 - Newfoundland and Labrador 12.3(h)
 - Young v. Dawe* 12.3(h)
 - Ontario 12.3(a)
 - affidavit of documents — Rule 30.03 12.3(a)(ii)
 - costs 12.3(a)(x)
 - examination for discovery — Rule 31 12.3(a)(v)
 - Salame v. Neilson* 12.3(a)(v)
 - Walker v. Woodstock District Chamber of Commerce* 12.3(a)(v)
 - is information in surveillance documents privileged? 12.3(a)(iv)
 - scope of disclosure 12.3(a)(i)
 - timing of disclosure 12.3(a)(vii)
 - Bradbury v. Traise* 12.3(a)(vii)
 - Devji v. Longo Brothers Fruit Markets Inc.* 12.3(a)(vii)
 - use of surveillance films or tapes at arbitration 12.3(a)(ix)
 - use of surveillance films or tapes at trial 12.3(a)(viii)
 - using privileged documents to impeach witness — Rule 30.09 12.3(a)(iii)
 - Ferenczy v. MCI Medical Clinics* 12.3(a)(iii)
 - Landolfi v. Fargione* 12.3(a)(iii)
 - Lis v. Lombard Insurance Co.* 12.3(a)(iii)
 - what information should be disclosed? 12.3(a)(vi)
 - Saskatchewan 12.3(d)
 - Fancy v. Mutual of Omaha Insurance Co.* 12.3(d)
 - Fobel v. Dean* 12.3(d)
 - reported use 12.1
 - motion picture films 12.1(b)(i)
 - videotapes 12.1(b)(ii)
 - strategy and tactics 12.2
 - caveat to defendant's counsel 12.2(d)
 - pre-trial 12.2(a)
 - techniques of surveillance 12.2(b)
 - trial 12.2(c)
 - TAPE AND FILM SPEED
 - DISTORTION See OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION
 - TESSLING, R. v. See INFRARED PHOTOGRAPHY
 - THIBODEAU-FINCH EXPRESS INC. v. TEAMSTERS UNION, LOCAL 880 See SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE IN LABOUR ARBITRATIONS
 - U.F.C.W., LOCAL 175 v. PRICE CLUB ST. LAURENT INC. See SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE IN LABOUR ARBITRATIONS
 - U.F.C.W., LOCAL 1400 v. SASKATOON CO-OPERATIVE ASSN. LTD. See SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE IN LABOUR ARBITRATIONS
 - UNION OF CALGARY CO-OPERATIVE EMPLOYEES v. CALGARY CO-OPERATIVE

INDEX

- ASSN. See SURVEILLANCE
- EVIDENCE IN LABOUR
- ARBITRATIONS
- VAN SETERS, R. v. See CROWN
- DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC ACCESS, public access to videotape exhibits
- VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND VIDEO CONFERENCING
- accused to be present at trial — s. 650
25.4
- pre-1995 amendment 25.4(a)
 - 1995 amendment — s. 650(1.1)
 - “video links” 25.4(b)
 - 1997 amendment — s. 650(1.2)
 - “video links” 25.4(c)
- case law — civil 25.9
- Alberta 25.9(b)
 - De Carvalho v. Watson* 25.9(b)
 - British Columbia 25.9(c)
 - Bradley v. Bradley* 25.9(c)
 - Lomax v. Weins* 25.9(c)
 - New Brunswick 25.9(e)
 - Michels v. Shaw* 25.9(e)
 - Ontario 25.9(a)
 - Archambault v. Kalandi Anstalt* 25.9(a)
 - Guarantee Co. of North America v. Nuytten* 25.9(a)
 - Pack All Manufacturing Inc. v Triad Plastics Inc.* 25.9(a)
 - Richard v. Doell* 25.9(a)
 - Wright v. Wasilewski* 25.9(a)
 - Saskatchewan 25.9(d)
 - Onofrichuk v. Simpson* 25.9(d)
 - Shepherd v. Canada (Attorney General)* 25.9(d)
- case law — criminal 25.8
- R v. Dix* 25.8
- criminal appeals by video conference 25.6
- disposition hearing — s. 672.5(13)
“video links” 25.5
- Federal Court of Canada 25.7
- judicial interim release — s. 515(2.2)
25.3
- “show cause” hearings —
alternative to physical presence
25.3(a)
- testimony outside the courtroom via
CCTV 25.2
- Ontario Evidence Act — s. 18.4
25.2(b)
 - sexual offence cases — s. 486(2.1),
(2.2) 25.2(a)
- witnesses in and outside Canada
25.10
- Canada Evidence Act — 1999
amendments 25.10(b)
 - Criminal Code — 1999
amendments 25.10(a)
 - Criminal Code, other amendments
25.10(c)
- VIEWS BY VIDEOTAPE 10.2 See also
CRIME SCENES and RE-
ENACTMENTS AND
CONFESSIONS (VISUAL
EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL
PROCEEDINGS)
- inspection of the scene 10.2(a)
- accident scene 10.2(a)(i)
 - aerial views 10.2(a)(vii)
 - animals 10.2(a)(xvii)
 - commercial activity 10.2(a)(x)
 - drowning site 10.2(a)(xv)
 - invasion of privacy 10.2(a)(ix)
 - land development site 10.2(a)(xiv)
 - landlord and tenant matters
10.2(a)(xvi)
 - loading ramp 10.2(a)(xii)
 - manufacturing processes and
facilities 10.2(a)(iii)
 - marine views 10.2(a)(vi)
 - parks, federal and provincial
10.2(a)(xiii)
 - picket lines 10.2(a)(v)
 - route taken 10.2(a)(iv)
 - terrain 10.2(a)(viii)
 - vehicles 10.2(a)(ii)
- VIDEOTAPE EVIDENCE See
ADMISSIBILITY
- WALIZADAH, R. v. See RE-
ENACTMENTS AND
CONFESSIONS (VISUAL
EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL
PROCEEDINGS)

INDEX

- WALKER v. WOODSTOCK
DISTRICT CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE See
SURVEILLANCE OF
SUSPECTED MALINGERING
PLAINTIFFS
- WARREN, R. v. See CROWN
DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC
ACCESS, public access to videotape
exhibits
- WEIGHT
chain of custody requirement 2.3(b)
distortion in videotape 2.3(a)
in foreign jurisdictions
 Australia 4.1
 civil courts 4.1(c)
 criminal courts 4.1(b)
 England 3.2
 civil courts 3.2(c)
 criminal courts 3.2(b)
 historical background 3.2(a)
 Hong Kong 5.1
 Ireland 3.4
 New Zealand 4.2
 criminal courts 4.2(b)
 Rhodesia 5.2
 Scotland 3.3
 civil courts 3.3(a)
 criminal courts 3.3(b)
 South Africa 5.2
in military courts
 Canada 6.3
 confessions of suspects 6.3(d)
 evidence on Commission 6.3(b)
 testimony by graphic media
 6.3(a)
 view by Court Martial 6.3(c)
 United States 6.2
 use at preliminary inquiry 2.4(c)
- WILLITS v. JOHNSTON See EX
PARTE EVENTS, demonstrations
- WIRELESS VIDEO
SURVEILLANCE 28
- WONG (GUARDIAN AD LITEM
OF) v. WONG See EX PARTE
EVENTS, demonstrations
- WORKPLACE SURVEILLANCE See
SURVEILLANCE IN THE
WORKPLACE
- WRIGHT v. WASILEWSKI See
VIDEO LINKS: CCTV AND
VIDEO CONFERENCING
- WRONGFUL DISMISSAL
ACTIONS See SURVEILLANCE
IN THE WORKPLACE
- WU, R. v. See RE-ENACTMENTS
AND CONFESSIONS (VISUAL
EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL
PROCEEDINGS)
- YOUNG v. DAWE See
SURVEILLANCE OF
SUSPECTED MALINGERING
PLAINTIFFS