

Index

Abel v. Abel, termination of power of attorney, 32-33

adequate support, 85-86

BCE Inc., Re, oppression remedy, 107

Bill C-14, medical assistance in dying, *see* powers of attorney and substitute decision making

s. 242.2, criteria to receive medical assistance in dying, 37-38

s. 242.2(2)(d), reasonably foreseeable natural death, definition, 39

Bill C-74 (Budget Implementation Act, 2018, No. 1)

refundability of taxes on investment income, changes to, 23-24

small business deduction for Canadian controlled private corporations, restriction of, 23

Budget Implementation Act, 2018, No. 1 *see* Bill C-74

Canadian Business Corporations Act

ss. 238, 241, oppression remedy, 107, 122-125

Canadian Controlled Private Corporations

federal budget, 2018, changes to refundability of tax on investment income, 23

federal budget, 2018, restriction of small business deduction, 23

capacity *see* powers of attorney and substitute decision making

Carter v. Canada (Attorney General), Criminal Code, prohibiting medical assistance in dying, *Charter violation*, 36

Charter Issues

medical assistance in dying, 36, 40-41

Christian Medical and Dental Society of Canada v. C.P.S.O., referral requirement where doctor unwilling to provide medical assistance in dying, 41-42

Consent and Capacity Board (CCB)

capacity to revoke a power of attorney, 34

conflicting opinions between doctor and substitute decision maker, 44
jurisdiction of, 31

R.B. (Re), power to appoint representative not named in application, 35-36

constructive trust, *see* unjust enrichment

remedy for unjust enrichment, 92, 94, 96, 99-100

costs

estate trustee during litigation, 70-74

awarded against (*Sweetnam v. Williamson Estate*), 70

awarded in favour of (*Bank of Nova Scotia v. Kuklis*), 68-69

partial costs in favour of, despite success in litigation (*Medynski Estate, re*), 73

related to conflict between beneficiary and estate trustee during litigation (*Timothy Bryant v. Bryant*), 70-72

solicitor, against personally, 45-47, 129-130

Ferreira v. St. Mary's General Hospital, 45-47

Sgrignuoli v. Melara, 129-130

Delgman v. Guaranty Trust Co. of Canada, 90-91

dependent, definition, 78-80

elder law disputes, best practice for lawyers, 53-61

Employee Life and Health Trust (ELHT), 22-23

Estates Act

s. 28, appointment of estate trustee during litigation, 64

Estates Administration Act

Re Milne Estate, failure to consider Act, 11-13

s. 2(1), property of deceased vests, personal representative, 10-11

creation of statutory trust on death, 11

intestate estates vest within personal representative, 11

estate and capacity litigation, contentious

- best practice, lawyers', 53-61
- elder rights and family dynamics, 49-53
- mediation, 55-61
 - alternative, non-coercive styles, 59-61
 - circle of care — respite and relief elder mediation program, 60
 - community justice initiatives — elder mediation service, 59-60
 - eldercaring coordination, 60-61
 - interest-based, 55-56
 - neutrality of mediator, 56-57
 - traditional styles, 57-59
 - evaluative, 58
 - facilitative, 58
 - insight, 59
 - transformative, 58

Estate of Timothy Bryant v. Bryant, conflict between estate trustee during litigation [ETDL] and beneficiaries, 70-72

- application by ETDL to pass accounts, 72
- complaint by beneficiary to Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario and Ontario Provincial Police, 72
- costs, 72
- motions by beneficiaries to remove ETDL, 71
- motion by ETDL to be removed, 71-72

estate trustee during litigation (ETDL)

- application to pass accounts, 72
- appointment of, 64-65, 66-68 *see also* Mayer v. Rubin
 - posting bond upon, 65
- compensation of, 66
- complaints by beneficiary to Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario and Ontario Provincial Police, 72
- conflict with beneficiaries (Estate of Timothy Bryant v. Bryant), 70-72
- costs, 70-74
 - awarded against (Sweetnam v. Williamson Estate), 70
 - awarded in favour of (Bank of Nova Scotia v. Kuklis), 68-69
 - partial costs in favour of, (Medynski Estate, re), 73
- end of mandate, duties upon, 65
- generally, 63-64
- indemnity for legal fees paid from estate (Sweetnam v. Williamson Estate), 70
- institutional trustee vs solicitor as estate trustee in litigation, 68
- liability coverage by LawPRO, 73-74

estate trustee during litigation (ETDL) — *continued*

liability of, 66
 motions by beneficiaries to remove ETDL, 71
 motion by ETDL to be removed, 71-72
 private executor liability insurance, 74
 source of power, 66

expert evidence, solicitor's negligence, 126-127**Family Law Act**

Part III, "spouse", definition, 78
 recourse for surviving spouses, 76

family law issues, *see also unjust enrichment*

corporation, family-held, 105-106, 109-119
 conflict of interest, 109, 119
 estate freeze, 109-113, 115, 117-119
 family trust, 119
 general, 109
 oppression remedy, 110-118
 820099 Ontario Ltd. v. Harold E. Ballard Ltd., 110-112
 Cohen v. Jonco, will informs legitimate expectations, 115
 Glesby v. Glesby, use of corporate power to disinherit shareholder son, 117
 Hui v. Hoa, oppression remedy not meant to resolve family disputes, 116-117
 Nanef v. Con-Crete Holdings, purpose to rectify unfairness, not punish, 112-113
 Strauss v. Wright, family dispute, breach of director's fiduciary duty, 117-118
 Wonsch (Litigation Guardian of) v. Wonsch, legitimate business decisions, 114
 dependent support claims, 77-86
 adequate support, definition, 85-86
 application, deadline, extensions, 80
 Habberfield v. Sciamonte et al., test for granting extension, 81-82
 limitation period, 81
 suspension of, 81
 claw back of assets into estate, satisfying, 77
 dependent, definition, 78-80
 financial records, required, 86
 interim relief, application for, 82-85
 Blair v. Allair Estate, 84-85

family law issues — *continued*dependent support claims — *continued*interim relief, application for — *continued*

Kalman v. Pick, 83

Kraus v. Valentini, 83

Perkovic v. Marion Estate, application criteria, 83, 84

Zavet v. Herzog, 83

judicial considerations, 86

spouse, definition, 78-80

Molodowich v. Penttinen, defining criteria considered by court, 79

Radziwilko v. Seef Estate, 79

Romero v. Naglic Estate, 79-80, 84

support, definition, 77-78

disappointed beneficiary, 134-135

surviving common-law spouses, general recourse for, 76

federal budget, 2018 *see* taxation issues**Ferreira v. St. Mary's General Hospital, injunction to prevent removal from life support**, 45-47

costs award against lawyer, 46-47

fiduciary duty

attorney for property and personal care, 32

director to corporation, 106, 117-118

estate trustee during litigation, 66

general anti-avoidance rule (GAAR), 27-28

Satoma Trust v. The Queen, 27-28

general power of appointment

granted by testator, 12

Granovsky Estate v. Ontario, secondary will to reduce probate fees, 2-3**health and welfare trusts (HWTs)**

federal budget, 2018

elimination of, 22-23

Health Care Consent Act

s. 21(1), assessment of incapable person's best interests, 43

Health Care Consent Act — *continued*

- s. 21(2), required considerations for substitute decision makers, no prior expressed wishes, 43
- s. 33(7)(a), Consent and Capacity Board, appointment of representative not named in application, 35

Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario (HRTO), 72**Income Tax Act**

- amendments to, 17-23
- s. 75(2), attribution rule, 27-28
- s. 112(1), intercorporate dividend deductions, 27-28
- s. 150, T3 tax returns for trusts, 19-20
- s. 163(5), (6), new penalties for failure to report trust, 20-21
- s. 204.2(1), information to be reported by express trusts resident in Canada, 20
- s. 212.1(1.1), cross-border-anti-surplus stripping rule, 21-22
- s. 248(1), definition of “taxpayer” and “person”, 29

institutional trustee, as estate trustee during litigation, 68***inter vivos* gift**

- unjust enrichment claim challenging, 101-102

intestacy

- presumption against, 8-9
- Wright Estate v. Johnston, unjust enrichment claim against deceased, intestate, 96-97

Lawyers’ Professional Indemnity Corporation (LawPRO), 73-74**Mayer v. Rubin, power of court to appoint ETDL, 66-68**

- conflict of interest, appointment of ETDL, 67-68
- institutional trustee vs solicitor as ETDL, 68

medical assistance in dying (MAID) *see* powers of attorney and substitute decision making**NS, Re, conflicting opinions between doctor and substitute decision maker, 44-45****Office of the Children’s Lawyer**

- notice of application for the construction of will, 8

Office of the Public Guardian and Trustee

notice of application for the construction of will, 8

Ontario Business Corporations Act

ss. 245, 248, oppression remedy, 107, 120-121

oppression remedy claims

complainants, types of, 107

Ebrahimi v. Westbourne Galleries Ltd, consideration of individual rights and expectations, 108

family trust, 106

generally, 105-106

judicial considerations in assessing, 107-109

reasonable expectations, 107-108

remedial orders, 107

requirements for, 109

personal representative

intestate estate vesting in, 11

real and personal property of deceased person vesting in, 11

Pettkus v. Becker, unjust enrichment test, 91-92**powers of attorney and substitute decision making**

appointment of representative not named in application, 35-36

expressed wishes

prior, change of circumstances, 45

without prior, considerations for substitute decision maker, 43

J.B. v. de Souza, jurisdiction to assess individual's capacity to revoke, 33-34

medical assistance in dying (MAID), 36-47

A.B. v. Canada, eligibility best determined by physicians, not court, 37-38

Christian Medical and Dental Society of Canada v. C.P.S.O., referral requirement, 41-42

reasonably foreseeable natural death, definition, 39

state of advanced decline requirement, 40

NS, Re, conflicting opinions between doctor and substitute decision maker, 44

substitute decision maker's jurisdiction to request MAID, 42-43

termination of substitute decision maker, 31-33

Abel v. Abel, 32-33

Teffer v. Schaefers, test for removal of power of attorney, 32

probate court

jurisdiction to interpret will, 6-7, 13-14

quantum meruit, quantum valebat, remedy for unjust enrichment,
99-101

Re Milne Estate, contents of multiple wills must be objectively ascertainable, 4-15

Estates Administration Act, 11-12

presumption against intestacy, 8-9

primary will, 4-12

probate court, jurisdiction of, 6-8

Re Panda Estate, negative treatment of, 13-15

secondary will, 4-12

Re Panda Estate, negative treatment of Re Milne Estate, 13-15

asset, primary or secondary will, 15

certainty of subject matter, 13-14

trust, form of, 14

refundable dividend tax on hand (RDTH)

eligible vs non-eligible, 24

federal budget, 2018, changes to, 23-24

Robinson Estate v. Robinson, test for rectification of will, 130-131**Rules of Professional Conduct**

Rule 2.3-9, duties, impaired decision-making ability of client, 46-47

solicitor, as estate trustee during litigation, 68**solicitor's negligence**

costs against solicitor personally, 45-47, 129-130

Ferreira v. St. Mary's General Hospital, 45-47

Sgrignuoli v. Melara, 129-130

Larizza v. Royal Band of Canada, failure to conduct background check
on client's partner, 131-132

McPeake v. Cadesky & Associates, expert evidence of professional
negligence, 128-129

standard of care, 127

Central & Eastern Trust Co. v. Rafuse, 127

Pilotte v. Gilbert, Wright & Kirby, Barristers & Solicitors, 127

third party claims against solicitor, 130-135

solicitor's negligence — *continued*third party claims against solicitor — *continued*

Bryn v. Farris, Vaughn, Wills and Murphy LLP, claim by disappointed beneficiary, 134-135

Johnston Estate v. Johnston, duty to beneficiary of prior will, 132-133

Graham v. Bonnycastle, 133

Welton Estate, v. Haugrud, rectification of will, solicitor drafting error, 130-131

Robinson Estate v. Robinson, test for rectification of will, 130-131

spouse, definition, 78-80**standard of care, solicitors'**, 127, *see* solicitors' negligence**Substitute Decision Act, 1992**

s. 8(1)(g), grantors must carefully consider appointments, 31-32

s. 12(1)(c), court intervention to terminate power of attorney, 32

substitute decision maker (SDM), *see* Powers of attorney and substitute decision making**Succession Law Reform Act (SLRA)**

Part V, dependent support claims, 77-86

s. 57, definition of spouse, 79

s. 57(1), definition of dependent, 78

s. 58(1), power of court to make support order, 78

s. 61(1), limitation period, application for support, 81

s. 61(2), court discretion to extend limitation period, 81-82

s. 62, definition of adequate support, 85-86

s. 64, interim relief pending support application, 82-83

s. 67, stay of distribution of assets pending determination of support claim, 77

support, definition, 77-78**testamentary trust**test for uncertainty, must be objectively satisfied at moment of death, 6
remedies for uncertainty of subject matter, 9**taxation issues**

alter ego trusts, 26

Estate of Winifred Straessle v. The Queen, capacity of heir to appeal
taxation of estate 28-29

taxation issues — *continued*

- federal budget, 2018, changes announced in, 17-23
 - cross-border-anti-surplus stripping rule, bolstering of, 21-22
 - “look-through” rule for trusts, 22
 - refundability of taxes on investment income, changes to 23-24
 - health and welfare trusts, phase out, 22-23
 - reporting requirements for trusts, new 18-21
 - information that must be reported, 20
 - penalties for failure to report, 20-21
 - trusts exempt from new reporting requirements, 19
 - trusts subject to new reporting requirements, 19
 - small business deduction for Canadian controlled private corporations, restriction of, 23
- general anti-avoidance rule (GAAR), 27-28
 - Satoma Trust v. The Queen, 27-28
- graduated rate estates, 24-25
- principal residence exemption, 25-26
- tax-free savings account (TFSA), residence of, 26-27

Teffer v. Schaefers, test for removal of power of attorney, 32**trust**

- employee life and health trust, 22-23
- Federal Budget, 2018,
 - health and welfare trusts, phase out 22-23
 - “look-through” rule, 22
 - reporting requirements, new 18-21
- residence of, for taxation purposes, 25-26

unjust enrichment

- Delgman v. Guaranty Trust Co. of Canada, basis for liability, 90-91
- estate litigation, in the context of, 95-7
 - Belvedere, v. Brittain Estate, 95-96
 - Lata v. Rush, 99-100
 - Lavoie v. Trudel, 100-101
 - McDonald v. McDonald Estate, 101-103
 - McMillan v. Johnson Estate, 97-98
 - Wright Estate v. Johnston, 96-97
- Garland v. Consumer Gas Co., “absence of juristic reason for enrichment”, 92-93
- generally, 89
- Kerr v. Baranow, restatement of unjust enrichment test, 93-94

unjust enrichment — *continued*

Lavoie v. Trudel, benefit conferred without expectation of compensation, 100-101

McDonald v. McDonald Estate, absence of exploitation, no claim for, 101-103

origin of, 90

Pettkus v. Becker, unjust enrichment test, 91-92

remedies for, 94-95

 Lata v. Rush, *quantum meruit*, *quantum valebat*, 99-100

 McMillan v. Johnson Estate, awarded valuation of loan, 97-98

 monetary award, 94

 constructive trust, 94-95, 99-100

will

drafting secondary will to reduce probate fees, 1-15

 assets included, 3-4

 Granovsky Estate v. Ontario, 2-3

 Re Milne Estate, 4-15

 Re Panda Estate, 13-15

 use of modifying language in secondary will, 3-4

intestacy, presumption against, 8-9

probate court, jurisdiction to interpret, 6-7, 13-14

rectification of, 130-131

 as tool to mitigate damages claims against solicitor, 131

validity of, as compared to validity of trust, 14

validity of, without property, 10

unjust enrichment claims challenging, 95-96, 97-101

Wilson v. Alharayeri, confirming BCE Inc., Re, 107

